arXiv:1206.1564v6 [math.SG] 4 Jan 2013

FLOER THEORY, FROBENIUS MANIFOLDS AND INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS

OLIVER FABERT

ABSTRACT. Following the work of Piunikhin-Salamon-Schwarz, the Floer cohomology for Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms with its pair-of-pants product is ring isomorphic to the small quantum cohomology ring of the underlying symplectic manifold. In this paper we complete the correspondence between rational Gromov-Witten theory and the Floer theory of symplectomorphisms. Employing the rich algebraic structures of rational symplectic field theory, we show how the Frobenius manifolds and the resulting infinite-dimensional integrable systems of Gromov-Witten theory translate to Floer theory. At the end we illustrate how transversality is established without polyfolds.

CONTENTS

Summary 1
1. Introduction 4
1.1. Floer theory for symplectomorphisms 4
1.2. Big quantum product and Frobenius manifolds 7
2. Floer theory, Frobenius manifolds and integrable systems 9
2.1. A differential graded manifold from contact homology 9
2.2. Big pair-of-pants product 15
2.3. Cohomology F-manifolds 22
2.4. Floer theory and commuting Hamiltonian systems in SFT 26
Appendix: Transversality using domain-dependent Hamiltonians 30
References 33

SUMMARY

The Floer theory of symplectomorphisms is an important tool in symplectic geometry. Floer cohomology was invented by A. Floer to prove the Arnold conjecture about the number of symplectic fixed points and since then was improved to answer many other questions in symplectic geometry. Following M. Schwarz and P. Seidel ([17]), there exists the so-called pair-of-pants product, which for general symplectomorphisms ϕ is defined by counting holomorphic sections in symplectic fibre bundles over spheres with three points removed. Apart from the Arnold conjecture for degenerate Hamiltonians, it is used in proofs of the Conley conjecture and plays a crucial role in the definition of symplectic quasimorphisms. While the pair-of-pants product involves the Floer cohomology groups of different symplectomorphisms, it defines a graded commutative and associative product on the sum of the Floer cohomologies of all powers of ϕ.

O. Fabert, Universität Freiburg, Germany. Email: oliver.fabert@gmail.com.

The above mentioned applications of the pair-of-pants product build on its relation with the small quantum product of the underlying symplectic manifold. Following Piunikhin-Salamon-Schwarz ([16]) there exists an ring isomorphism between the (sum of the) Floer cohomology groups with its pair-of-pants product and the small quantum cohomology ring. On the other hand, the small quantum product only involves a very small part of rational Gromov-Witten theory, since it just counts holomorphic spheres with three marked points. In order to use the geometric information of all rational Gromov-Witten invariants, it is known that there also exists a big version of the quantum cup product, which recovers the full rational Gromov-Witten potential ([14]). Following B. Dubrovin, it equips, together with the canonical Euler vector field, the canonical unit vector field and the canonical flat metric, the affine space of quantum cohomology with the structure of a Frobenius manifold.

In this paper we show how the big quantum product and the resulting Frobenius manifold translate to the Floer theory of a symplectomorphism ϕ, extending the above relation between the small quantum product and the pair-of-pants product. The corresponding big version of the pair-of-pants product counts holomorphic sections in symplectic fibre bundles over spheres with arbitrary many points removed. Since the pair-of-pants product satisfies properties like commutativity and associativity only after passing to cohomology, the same is clearly true for the big pair-of-pants product. In order to define the corresponding new algebraic and geometric structures in Floer theory, we make use of the rich algebraic structures of the rational symplectic field theory of the mapping torus of ϕ ([7],[8]).

While the cylindrical contact cohomology of the corresponding mapping torus M_ϕ agrees with the sum of the Floer cohomologies of all powers of ϕ, one can show that the differential in full contact homology equips the chain space of cylindrical contact cohomology with the structure of a (infinite-dimensional) differential graded manifold. In the same way as the small pair-of-pants product lives on the cylindrical contact cohomology, we show that its big version equips, together with the canonical Euler vector field and the unit vector field, the differential graded manifold of full contact homology with the structure of a cohomology F-manifold in the sense of Merkulov ([12],[13]).

Theorem 0.1. *The tuple $(\mathbf{Q}, X, \star, e, E)$, consisting of the coordinate super space $\mathbf{Q}$ and the differential vector field X of contact homology, the big pair-of-pants product $\star$, the unit vector field $e = \partial/\partial q_1$ and the Euler vector field E, defines a* cohomology F-manifold *in the sense that*

- *$\mathbf{Q}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space,*
- *$E \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ is an Euler vector field in the sense that $Ef = |f| f$ for all homogeneous functions $f \in \mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}$,*
- *$X \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ satiesfies $[X,X] = 2X^2 = 0$, $[E,X] = X$ and $X(0) = 0$, so that $(\mathbf{Q}, X)$ defines a differential graded manifold $\mathbf{Q}_X$ with a grading operator $\mathcal{L}_E : \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X \to \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$ given by E and a restriction map at the origin $0 \in \mathbf{Q}$ for all tensor fields,*
- *$\star \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,2)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}_X \star = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_E \star = 2\star$, and the induced map $\star : \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X \otimes \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X \to \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$ is a graded commutative and*

associative product,

- $e=\partial/\partial q_1\in\mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ *satisfies* $[X,e]=0$ *and* $e\in\mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$ *is a unit for the multiplication given by* $\star$.

We show that there exists a spectral sequence computing the differential graded manifold from contact homology with E_2-page given by the affine manifold of cylindrical contact cohomology. On the other hand, due to the vanishing of the contact homology vector field X at the origin, it follows that the differential graded manifold $\mathbf{Q}_X$ has a well-defined tangent space at zero. In the same way as the small quantum product is obtained from the Frobenius manifold of rational Gromov-Witten theory by restricting the big quantum product to the tangent space at zero, the above cohomology F-manifold recovers the sum of the Floer cohomologies with its small pair-of-pants product.

Theorem 0.2. *At* $q=0$ *the big pair-of-pants product* $\star\in\mathcal{T}^{(1,2)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X=H^*(\mathcal{T}^{(1,2)}\,\mathbf{Q},\mathcal{L}_X)$ *restricts to the small pair-of-pants product* $\star_0:\mathrm{HC}_{\mathrm{cyl}}\otimes\mathrm{HC}_{\mathrm{cyl}}\to\mathrm{HC}_{\mathrm{cyl}}$ *on cylindrical contact homology,*

$$(T_0\,\mathbf{Q}_X,\star|_{q=0})=(\mathrm{HC}_{\mathrm{cyl}}=\bigoplus_k\mathrm{HF}^*(\phi^k)^{\mathbb{Z}_k},\star_0).$$

By extending the isomorphism proof for contact homology from [7] and [8], we show that, for different choices of auxiliary data like almost complex structures and Hamiltonian perturbations, the resulting cohomology F-manifolds are isomorphic in a canonical way. In particular, in the case when ϕ is the time-one map of the flow of a Hamiltonian vector field, we show how the cohomology F-manifold of a Hamiltonian symplectomorphism is related to the Frobenius manifold of the underlying symplectic manifold.

Theorem 0.3. *In the case when the symplectomorphism* ϕ *is the time-one map of a Hamiltonian flow, there exists an* equivalence of cohomology F-manifolds

$$(\mathbf{Q},X,\star,e,E)\;\cong\;(\bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\mathrm{QH}^*\cup\{e\},0,\star,e,E),$$

where, on the right hand side, $\star$ *denotes the big quantum product,* e *its canonical unit vector field and* E *the Euler vector field of the Frobenius manifold structure on the quantum cohomology* QH^* *of the underlying symplectic manifold. This is a generalization of the classical result of Piunikhin-Salamon-Schwarz in the sense that, after restricting to the tangent spaces at the origins, we recover the ring isomorphism*

$$(\bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\mathrm{HF}^*(\phi^k)^{\mathbb{Z}_k},\star_0)\;\cong\;(\bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\mathrm{QH}^*,\star_0).$$

Note that cohomology F-manifolds are generalizations of Hertling-Manin's F-manifolds, which themselves are generalizations of Dubrovin's Frobenius manifolds, dropping the request for an underlying (Floer) potential. Furthermore the Euler vector field $E\in\mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ as well as the canonical flat structure on $\mathbf{Q}$ do not descend to well-defined structures on the differential graded manifold $\mathbf{Q}_X$ in general. While the classical approach to integrable systems hence does not generalize immediately from Gromov-Witten theory to Floer theory, we instead show how rational symplectic field theory provides us with the desired Floer generalization of the integrable system from Gromov-Witten theory. For this we show how the resulting commuting Hamiltonian systems on the rational SFT homology of M_ϕ from [6] and [10] are related to the moduli spaces studied in the Floer theory of ϕ, generalizing the relation between the rational symplectic field theory of $S^1 \times M$ and the Gromov-Witten potential of M described in [7]. In particular, we sketch at the end how that the first descendant Hamiltonian for the canonical zero-form can be viewed as a substitute for the Floer analogue of the Gromov-Witten potential in the sense that it carries the same geometrical information but leads to an invariant of the symplectomorphism ϕ after passing to homology.

In order to turn our results into mathematical theorems in the strict sense, in the appendix we prove transversality for all occuring moduli space under the assumption that the symplectic manifold is semipositive. Indeed, instead of just refering to the polyfold theory of Hofer and his collaborators, we show how the transversality results for Hamiltonian mapping tori established by the author in [8] can be modified to cover the case of general symplectic mapping tori. While the latter shows how to deal with moduli spaces of holomorphic curves with three or more punctures using domain-dependent Hamiltonian perturbations, note that for holomorphic spheres and holomorphic cylinders (there are no holomorphic planes !) we essentially make use of the regularity (and nondegeneracy) result for Gromov-Witten theory and Floer cohomology for semipositive symplectic manifolds.

This paper is organized as follows: While in 1.1 we review the definition of the pair-of-pants product in the Floer theory of symplectomorphisms, in 1.2 we summarize the definition of the big quantum product and its relation to Frobenius manifolds. After showing in 2.1 how the contact homology complex leads to a differential graded manifold, in 2.2. we define the big pair-of-pants product and show in 2.3 that it turns (together with other geometrical structures) the differential graded manifold into a cohomology F-manifold. Finally, in 2.4, we show how the integrable systems generalize from Gromov-Witten theory to Floer theory using a bypass obtained from symplectic field theory and end with establishing the analytical foundations in the appendix.

The author would like to thank K. Cieliebak, B. Dubrovin, C. Hertling, P. Rossi, S. Shadrin and I. Smith for useful discussions and their interest in his work. Most of the work for the paper was done when the author was a member of the trimester program on "Integrability in Geometry and Mathematical Physics" at the Hausdorff Research Institute in Bonn. He would like to thank the organizers for the invitation and the institute for the great working environment. This paper was written when the author was a research assistant at the University of Freiburg, partially supported by Prof. K. Wendland's ERC Starting Independent Researcher Grant (StG No. 204757-TQFT). He is grateful for the great working enviroment and for the financial support.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Floer theory for symplectomorphisms.** Let (M,ω) be a closed symplectic manifold and let ϕ be a symplectomorphism on (M,ω). In order to be able to prove transversality for all occuring moduli spaces, see the generalization of the results from [8] in the appendix, we assume that (M,ω) is semipositive in the sense that

$$\omega(A) > 0,\;\; c_1(A) \geq 3-n \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_1(A) \geq 0$$

for all $A \in \pi_2(M)$, see [14]. Note that this includes the case of monotone symplectic manifolds as well as the case of symplectic manifolds (M,ω) with $c_1(A) = 0$ for all $A \in \pi_2(M)$, which contains the important class of Calabi-Yau manifolds.

Furthermore we assume that, after choosing Hamiltonian perturbations as in the appendix, all fixed points of the symplectomorphisms are Morse nondegenerate, in particular, isolated. Finally we assume for simplicity that $\pi_1(M)=0$, which is just used to simplify the occuring cohomology groups and clearly can be dropped after small modifications. We first briefly review the definition of the Floer cohomology groups of ϕ as defined in [4].

Floer cohomology groups. We start with choosing a family J_t, $t\in\mathbb{R}$ of ω-compatible almost complex structures with $J_{t+1}=\phi^*J_t$. Let $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$ denote the set of fixed points $x=\phi(x)$, $x\in M$ of the symplectomorphism ϕ. Given two fixed points $x^-,x^+\in\mathcal{P}(\phi)$ the new invariants are defined by counting J-holomorphic strips $u:\mathbb{R}\times[0,1]\to M$ connecting x^- and x^+,

$$\bar{\partial}_J u=\partial_s u+J_t(u)\cdot\partial_t u=0,\ \lim_{s\to\pm\infty}u(s,t)=x^\pm,$$

where (s,t) denote the canonical coordinates on $\mathbb{R}\times[0,1]$.

Note that each such map u can indeed be viewed as a holomorphic section in the bundle $\pi:\mathbb{R}\times M_\phi\to\mathbb{R}\times S^1$, where

$$M_\phi=\mathbb{R}\times M/\{(t,p)\sim(t+1,\phi(x))\}$$

denotes the mapping torus of the symplectomorphism ϕ. For this observe that the family J_t of almost complex structures on (M,ω) naturally defines a cylindrical almost complex structure J on $\mathbb{R}\times M_\phi$ (in the sense of [1]) by requiring $J\partial_s=\partial_t$ and $J=J_t$ on the symplectic fibre $\pi^{-1}(s,t)\cong(M,\omega)$ over $(s,t)\in\mathbb{R}\times S^1$.

With this we can now define the Floer cohomology groups $\mathrm{HF}^*(\phi)$. First, we view each symplectic fixed point $x\in\mathcal{P}(\phi)$ as a graded object with grading $|x|=\mathrm{CZ}(x)+2(\dim M-2)$. Here $\mathrm{CZ}(x)$ denotes the Conley-Zehnder index of the path of unitary matrices obtained from a choice of a unitary trivialization of x^*TM, where x is viewed as a section in $M_\phi\to S^1$, see [4] for details. Note that the additional summand does not appear in the original definition in [4], but will become natural later on. After choosing a basis $A_0,\dots,A_N$ of $H_2(M_\phi)$ we furthermore choose corresponding graded formal variables $z_0,\dots,z_N$ with $|z_i|=+2c_1(A_i)$, $i=1,\dots,N$. In order relate our bundle setup back to the original definition in [4], note that by using $\pi_1(M)=0$, the Hurewicz isomorphism and the spectral sequence for fibre bundles employing cohomology with twisted coefficients $\mathcal{H}(M)$, it follows that

$$H_2(M_\phi)\ =\ H_0(S^1,\mathcal{H}_2(M))\ =\ \frac{\pi_2(M)}{\mathrm{im}(\mathbf{1}-\phi_2)}\ =\ \pi_1(\Omega_\phi M),$$

where $\phi_2:H_2(M)\to H_2(M)$ is the map induced by ϕ and $\Omega_\phi M$ is the space of twisted loops $x:\mathbb{R}\to M$, $x(t+1)=\phi(x(t))$.

Let $\mathrm{CF}^*(\phi)$ denote the graded vector space spanned by all symplectic fixed points $x\in\mathcal{P}(\phi)$ with coefficients from the field of Laurent polynomials in $z_0,\dots,z_N$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}^{x^+}_{x^-}(A)$ the moduli space of J-holomorphic sections u in $\mathbb{R}\times M_\phi$ connecting x^- and x^+ modulo $\mathbb{R}$-translation and representing the homology class $A\in H_2(M_\phi)=\pi_1(\Omega_\phi M)$, see [4] for details. Then we define a differential $\partial:\mathrm{CF}^*(\phi)\to\mathrm{CF}^{*+1}(\phi)$ by

$$\partial x^-\ =\ \sum_{x^+,A}\#\mathcal{M}^{x^+}_{x^-}(A)\cdot\ x^+z^A$$

with $z^A = z_0^{d_0} \cdot \ldots \cdot z_N^{d_N}$ for $A = d_0 A_0 + \ldots + d_N A_N$. Here $\#\mathcal{M}_{x^-}^{x^+}(A)$ denotes the algebraic count of elements in the moduli space in the case when $\operatorname{ind}(u) = \mathrm{CZ}(x^+) - \mathrm{CZ}(x^-) + 2c_1(A) = |x^+| - |x^-| + |z^A| = 1$ and is equal to zero else.

In order to ensure that we always get a finite count, we use that $\mathcal{M}_{x^-}^{x^+} = \mathcal{M}_{x^-}^{x^+}(A)$ is compact when $\operatorname{ind}(u) = 1$. On the other hand, when $\operatorname{ind}(u) = 2$, $\mathcal{M}_{x^-}^{x^+}(A)$ can be compactified to a one-dimensional moduli space with boundary given by

$$\partial^1 \mathcal{M}_{x^-}^{x^+}(A) = \bigcup \mathcal{M}_{x}^{x^+}(A^+) \times \mathcal{M}_{x^-}^{x}(A^-),$$

where the union runs over all fixed points $x \in \mathcal{P}(\phi)$ with $\operatorname{ind}(u^+) = \operatorname{ind}(u^-) = 1$ for $(u^+, u^-) \in \mathcal{M}_{x}^{x^+}(A^+) \times \mathcal{M}_{x^-}^{x}(A^-)$ and $A^+ + A^- = A$.

Translating the above compactness result into algebra, we have shown that we indeed have $\partial \circ \partial = 0$, so that we can define the Floer cohomology groups as

$$\mathrm{HF}^*(\phi) = H^*(\mathrm{CF}^*(\phi), \partial).$$

Furthermore it can be shown that the cohomology groups for different choices of almost complex structures are isomorphic and depend on ϕ only up to Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms. In particular, when ϕ is Hamiltonian, then the Floer cohomology groups $\mathrm{HF}^*(\phi)$ are isomorphic to the quantum cohomology groups $\mathrm{QH}^*(M)$, which are defined as the singular cohomology groups of M with coefficients in the ring of Laurent polynomials in $z_0, \ldots, z_N$.

Pair-of-pants product. The important difference between the quantum cohomology groups and the singular cohomology groups is that there exists a quantum cup product on $\mathrm{QH}^*(M)$, which is a deformation of the classical cup product on $H^*(M)$. It is defined by counting holomorphic spheres in the symplectic manifold (M, ω) equipped with a compatible alost complex structure J with three marked points,

$$u : \dot{S} = S^2 \backslash \{z_0, z_1, z_\infty\} \to M, \; \bar{\partial}_J(u) = du + J(u) \cdot du \cdot j = 0.$$

Note that each such map indeed extends smoothly over the removed points using finiteness of energy. After applying an appropriate Moebius transform we can assume that the three points are $z_0 = 0$, $z_1 = 1$, $z_\infty = \infty$, which equips $\dot{S}$ with unique coordinates, i.e., kills all the automorphisms of the domain. Note that holomorphic maps from $\dot{S}$ to M can equivalently be described as holomorphic sections in the trivial bundle $X = \dot{S} \times M \to \dot{S}$ equipped with the product almost complex structure $j \times J$ on X.

Using this bundle description it is easy to generalize the quantum cup product to define a product on the Floer cohomology groups of symplectomorphisms, see [17]. Let $\pi : X_\phi = X_\phi^{k_0,k_1} \to \dot{S}$ denote the symplectic fibre bundle over $\dot{S}$ with fibre (M, ω) which has monodromy ϕ^{k_i} around $z_i = i = 0, 1$ and $\phi^{k_0+k_1}$ around $z_\infty = \infty$. It can be explicitly defined as the fibre product

$$X_\phi^{k_0,k_1} = \tilde{S} \times_{\pi_1(\dot{S})} M = \frac{\tilde{S} \times M}{(z, p) \sim (\gamma_i(z), \phi^{k_i}(p))},$$

where the loops $\gamma_i \in \pi_1(\dot{S})$ around $i = 0, 1$ act on the universal covering $\tilde{S} \to \dot{S}$ by deck transformations and on (M, ω) by $\pi_1(\dot{S}) \to \mathrm{Symp}(M, \omega)$, $\gamma_i \mapsto \phi^{k_i}$. For reasons that will become clear later we assume that all appearing symplectomorphisms are powers of one symplectomorphism.

In order to study holomorphic sections we equip X_ϕ with an almost complex structure J, which is compatible in the sense that is makes the bundle projection holomorphic and restricts to a ω-compatible almost complex structure on each fibre $\pi^{-1}(z) \cong (M,\omega)$. It amounts to choosing a smooth family J_z of compatible almost complex structures on (M,ω) varying over $z \in \tilde{S}$ which satisfies the periodicity condition

$$J_{\gamma_i(z)} = (\phi^{k_i})^* J_z, \ \ i = 0,1.$$

For arbitrarily chosen fixed points $x_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\phi^{k_0})$, $x_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\phi^{k_1})$ and $x_\infty \in \mathcal{P}(\phi^{k_0+k_1})$ let $\mathcal{M}^{x_\infty}_{x_0,x_1} = \mathcal{M}^{x_\infty}_{x_0,x_1}(A)$ denote the moduli space of J-holomorphic sections $u : \dot{S} \to X_\phi$, $(\pi \circ u)(z) = z$ converging to the fixed point x_i near z_i, $i = 0,1,2$,

$$\bar{\partial}_J u = du + J(u) \cdot du \cdot j = 0, \ \lim_{z \to z_i} u(z) = x_i, \ i = 0,1,2$$

and representing the homology class $A \in H_2(X_\phi)$. Note that for the limiting behavior we need to fix an asymptotic marker, that is, a direction at each of the three punctures. Furthermore we have $H_2(X_\phi) = H_2(M)/\operatorname{im}(\mathbf{1} - \phi) = H_2(M_\phi)$, where the first equality again follows from $\pi_1(M) = 0$ and the spectral sequence for the fibre bundle $X_\phi \to \dot{S}$. Similar as for the moduli spaces of holomorphic sections in $\mathbb{R} \times M_\phi$ one can prove that the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^{x_\infty}_{x_0,x_1}$ are compact when the Fredholm index is equal to zero and can be compactified to a moduli space with boundary

$$\partial^1 \mathcal{M}^{x_\infty}_{x_0,x_1} = \bigcup \mathcal{M}^{x_\infty}_{x} \times \mathcal{M}^{x}_{x_0,x_1} \cup \mathcal{M}^{x_\infty}_{x_0,x} \times \mathcal{M}^{x}_{x_1} \cup \mathcal{M}^{x_\infty}_{x,x_1} \times \mathcal{M}^{x}_{x_0}$$

when the Fredholm index is one.

From the first compactness result it follows that we can define the pair-of-pants product $\star : \mathrm{CF}(\phi^{k_0}) \otimes \mathrm{CF}(\phi^{k_1}) \to \mathrm{CF}(\phi^{k_0+k_1})$ on the chain level by

$$x_0 \star x_1 \ = \sum_{x_\infty, A} \# \mathcal{M}^{x_\infty}_{x_0,x_1}(A) \cdot \ x_\infty z^A.$$

On the other hand, the second compactness result translates into the algebraic result that $\star$ commutes with the boundary operators,

$$\partial \circ \star = \star \circ (\partial \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \partial),$$

which in turn proves that $\star$ descends to a map on cohomology,

$$\star : \mathrm{HF}(\phi^{k_0}) \otimes \mathrm{HF}(\phi^{k_1}) \to \mathrm{HF}(\phi^{k_0+k_1}).$$

1.2. **Big quantum product and Frobenius manifolds.** In this subsection we review the small and the big quantum cup product as well as Frobenius manifolds, which translate the axioms of Gromov-Witten theory from algebra into geometry. For further details we refer to [14].

Small quantum product and Gromov-Witten potential. As mentioned above, the quantum cup product $\star : \mathrm{QH}^*(M) \otimes \mathrm{QH}^*(M) \to \mathrm{QH}^*(M)$ agrees with the pair-of-pants product in the Floer theory of symplectomorphisms in the special case when the symplectomorphism is the identity. Since in this case there are no isolated sets of fixed points, we use the natural evaluation map on the (compactified) moduli space $\mathcal{M}_3(A)$ of J-holomorphic spheres in M with three additional marked points,

$$\mathrm{ev} = (\mathrm{ev}_0, \mathrm{ev}_1, \mathrm{ev}_\infty) : \mathcal{M}_3(A) \to M \times M \times M, \ u \mapsto (u(0), u(1), u(\infty))$$

to pullback classes from the target manifold.

For a basis of cohomology classes $\theta_\alpha \in H^*(M)$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, K$, which are again viewed as graded objects with grading given by $|\theta_\alpha| = \deg \theta_\alpha - 2$, the quantum cup product $\star : \mathrm{QH}^*(M) \otimes \mathrm{QH}^*(M) \to \mathrm{QH}^*(M)$ is defined by

$$\theta_{\alpha_0} \star \theta_{\alpha_1} \;=\; \sum_{\alpha_\infty,\beta,A} \eta_{\alpha_\infty,\beta} \int_{\mathcal{M}_3(A)} \mathrm{ev}_0^* \,\theta_{\alpha_0} \wedge \mathrm{ev}_1^* \,\theta_{\alpha_1} \wedge \mathrm{ev}_\infty^* \,\theta_\beta \cdot \;\theta_{\alpha_\infty} z^A,$$

where $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ denotes the Poincare pairing on $H^*(M)$.

While the quantum cup product just involves moduli spaces of holomorphic spheres with three marked points, the rational Gromov-Witten potential F of (M, ω) also takes into account spheres with more than three marked points. Here the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{r+1}(A)$ of holomorphic spheres with $r+1$ additional marked points consists of tuples $(u, z_0, \dots, z_{r-1}, z_\infty)$, where $u : S^2 \to M$ is again a holomorphic sphere and $z_0, \dots, z_{r-1}, z_\infty$ are marked points on S^2. As before we assume that $(z_0, z_1, z_\infty) = (0, 1, \infty)$ which ensures that there are no nontrivial automorphisms of the sphere $S^2 \backslash \{z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}, z_\infty\}$ and write $(u, z_2, \dots, z_{r-1}) \in \mathcal{M}_r(A)$.

Using the evaluation map $\mathrm{ev} = (\mathrm{ev}_0, \dots, \mathrm{ev}_{r-1}, \mathrm{ev}_\infty) : \mathcal{M}_{r+1}(A) \to M^{r+1}$ given by

$$\mathrm{ev}(u, z_2, \dots, z_{r-1}) \;=\; (u(0), u(1), u(z_2), \dots, u(z_{r-1}), u(\infty)),$$

the Gromov-Witten potential of (M, ω) is defined as the generating function $F = F(q)$, $q = (q_1, \dots, q_K)$ given by

$$\sum_r \frac{1}{(r+1)!} \sum_{\alpha_0,\dots,\alpha_\infty} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{r+1}(A)} \mathrm{ev}_0^* \,\theta_{\alpha_0} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathrm{ev}_{r-1}^* \,\theta_{\alpha_{r-1}} \wedge \mathrm{ev}_\infty^* \,\theta_{\alpha_\infty} \cdot \; q_{\alpha_0} \cdot \ldots \cdot q_{\alpha_\infty} z^A.$$

Here $(q_1, \dots, q_K)$ are formal variables assigned to the basis of cohomology classes $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K \in H^*(M)$ with grading given by $|q_\alpha| = -|\theta_\alpha|$. Note that they can be viewed as coordinates of a linear space Q over the field of Laurent polynomials in $z_1, \dots, z_N$, which is canonically isomorphic to $\mathrm{QH}^*(M)$ by identifying $\theta_\alpha \in \mathrm{QH}^*(M)$ with the unit vector $e_\alpha = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0) \in \mathrm{Q}$.

Big quantum product and Frobenius manifolds. Employing gluing of holomorphic spheres one can show that the Gromov-Witten potential satisfies the WDVV-equations given (up to sign due to the integer grading of the cohomology classes) by

$$\frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial q_{\alpha_0} \partial q_{\alpha_1} \partial q_{\alpha_\infty}} \eta_{\alpha_\infty \beta_0} \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial q_{\beta_0} \partial q_{\beta_1} \partial q_{\beta_\infty}} \;=\; \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial q_{\alpha_0} \partial q_{\beta_1} \partial q_{\alpha_\infty}} \eta_{\alpha_\infty \beta_0} \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial q_{\beta_0} \partial q_{\alpha_1} \partial q_{\beta_\infty}},$$

where on both sides we sum over the indices $\alpha_\infty, \beta_0 = 1, \dots, K$. They can be interpreted as associativity equation for a family of new products.

The idea is to use the above triple derivatives of the Gromov-Witten potential to define a product $\star_q : T_q\,\mathrm{Q} \otimes T_q\,\mathrm{Q} \to T_q\,\mathrm{Q}$ on the tangent space at each $q \in \mathrm{Q}$ by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\alpha_0}} \star_q \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\alpha_1}} \;=\; \sum_{\alpha_\infty,\beta} \eta_{\alpha_\infty,\beta} \cdot \Big(\frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial q_{\alpha_0} \partial q_{\alpha_1} \partial q_\beta} \Big)(q) \cdot \; \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\alpha_\infty}}.$$

Here observe that the tangent space $T_q\,\mathrm{Q}$ at each $q = (q_1, \dots, q_K)$ is canonically isomorphic to the original space $\mathrm{QH}^*(M)$ by identifying $\partial/\partial q_\alpha$ with θ_α, where $|\partial/\partial q_\alpha| = |\theta_\alpha|$. The coefficient $(\partial^3 F/\partial q_{\alpha_0} \partial q_{\alpha_1} \partial q_\beta)(q)$ is given by

$$\sum_r \frac{1}{(r-2)!} \sum_{\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_{r-1},A} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{r+1}(A)} \mathrm{ev}_0^* \,\theta_{\alpha_0} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathrm{ev}_\infty^* \,\theta_\beta \cdot \; q_{\alpha_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot q_{\alpha_{r-1}} z^A.$$

The new product is called the *big quantum cup product* and is indeed a deformation of the quantum product $\star$ in the sense that the latter agrees with the product $\star_q$ at $q = (q_1, \dots, q_K) = 0$,

$$\star = \star_0 : T_0\,\mathrm{Q} \otimes T_0\,\mathrm{Q} \to T_0\,\mathrm{Q}\,.$$

For this observe that

$$\Big(\frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial q_{\alpha_0} \partial q_{\alpha_1} \partial q_\beta}\Big)(0) \;=\; \sum_A \int_{\mathcal{M}_3(A)} \mathrm{ev}_0^*\,\theta_{\alpha_0} \wedge \mathrm{ev}_1^*\,\theta_{\alpha_1} \wedge \mathrm{ev}_\infty^*\,\theta_\beta \cdot\; z^A.$$

However note that the big quantum product now involves moduli spaces of holomorphic spheres with an arbitrary number of additional marked points, that is, the full rational Gromov-Witten potential of (M, ω).

Following Dubrovin, see [15], the Gromov-Witten potential $F \in \mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathrm{Q}$, viewed as a function (=$(0,0)$-tensorfield) on Q, equips the space $\mathrm{Q} \cong \mathrm{QH}^*(M)$ with the structure of a *Frobenius manifold.* Apart from the fact that F satisfies the WDVV-equations, it is important that F is homogeneous in the sense that $\mathcal{L}_E\,F = 0$, where $\mathcal{L}_E : \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathrm{Q} \to \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathrm{Q}$ denotes the grading operator given by the Lie derivative with respect to the Euler vector field

$$E \;=\; \sum_\alpha (2 - \deg\theta_\alpha) \cdot\, q_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\alpha} \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathrm{Q}\,.$$

Here we assume for simplicity that the first Chern class of (M, ω) indeed vanishes, that is, $c_1(A) = 0$ for all $A \in \pi_2(M)$.

The big pair-of-pants product $\star$ can be viewed as a $(1,2)$-tensorfield on Q. Together with the Poincare pairing, $\star_q$ turns each tangent space $T_q\,\mathrm{Q}$, $q \in \mathrm{Q}$ into a Frobenius algebra. Here the unit element is $\partial/\partial q_1$, where q_1 is the formal graded variable for the canonical zero-form $\theta_1 = 1$.

2. FLOER THEORY, FROBENIUS MANIFOLDS AND INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS

2.1. **A differential graded manifold from contact homology.**

The goal of this paper is to show how to define a big version of the pair-of-pants product in Floer theory and to show how the geometrical concept of Frobenius manifolds needs to be generalized. It should generalize the big quantum product in the same way as the classical small pair-of-pants product can be viewed as a generalization of the small quantum product.

Floer cohomology and cylindrical contact cohomology. Following [7] the cylindrical contact cohomology of the mapping torus M_ϕ is the cohomology of a chain complex generated by the closed Reeb orbits γ of the vector field $J\partial_s = \partial_t$ in $M_\phi \to S^1$. While the closed orbits of period one are in bijection with the fixed points x in $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$, note that for general $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the fixed points in $\mathcal{P}(\phi^k)$ are in k-to-one-correspondence with closed orbits of period k when the underlying orbit is simple. Indeed, for any fixed point $x = \phi^k(x)$, the fixed points $x, \phi(x), \dots, \phi^{k-1}(x)$ all represent the same orbit γ,

$$\gamma \,=\, [x] \,=\, [\phi(x)] \,=\, \dots \,=\, [\phi^{k-1}(x)].$$

Observe that the Conley-Zehnder indices of $\phi^i(x)$ agree for all $i = 0, \dots, k-1$ by symmetry reasons and hence also agree with the Conley-Zehnder index of the orbit γ. We denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(\phi^k) = \mathcal{P}(\phi^k)/\,\mathbb{Z}_k$ the set of closed orbits of period k.

Let C^* denote the linear space generated by the closed orbits γ of the vector field ∂_t with coefficients in the field of Laurent series in the formal variable z. Following [7] we denote by $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}_{\gamma^-}$ the moduli space of J-holomorphic maps $u = (a,f) : \mathbb{R}\times S^1 \to \mathbb{R}\times M_\phi$ with $f(s,t)\to\gamma^\pm$, $s\to\pm\infty$, up to $\mathbb{R}$-shift in the target and automorphisms of the domain. More precisely, we count holomorphic curves with asymptotic markers mapping to special points on the underlying simple orbit. Following [7], the differential $\partial : C^* \to C^*$ in cylindrical contact cohomology is then defined by

$$\partial\gamma^- \;=\; \frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma^-}}\cdot\sum_{\gamma^+,A}\#\,\mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}_{\gamma^-}(A)\cdot\,\gamma^+ z^A,$$

where κ_γ denotes the multiplicity of the closed orbit γ, see [7]. Note that, as in the definition of cylindrical contact homology in [7], still the multiplicity κ_{γ^-} and not κ_{γ^+} appears, since for the passing from homology to cohomology we just need to interchange the roles of γ^+ and γ^-.

Assume that the cylindrical almost complex structure J^k on $\mathbb{R}\times M_{\phi^k}$ in the definition of the Floer cohomology of ϕ^k is given by the pullback of the cylindrical almost complex structure $J = J^1$ on $\mathbb{R}\times M_\phi$ under the k-fold covering of $\mathbb{R}\times S^1$ by itself. In other words, we have $J^k_t = J_{kt}$, which in turn implies that J^k is periodic with period $1/k$, $J^k_{t+1/k} = J^k_t$. Then there exists a natural action of $\mathbb{Z}_k = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathrm{HF}^*(\phi^k)$ given on the chain level by $i.x = \phi^i(x)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_k$. We denote by $\mathrm{HF}(\phi^k)^{\mathbb{Z}_k}$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{HF}(\phi^k)$ consisting of elements invariant under this action.

Proposition 2.1. *The cylindrical contact cohomology of the mapping torus M_ϕ for the symplectomorphism ϕ on M is isomorphic to the sum of the invariant subgroups*

$$\mathrm{HC}^*_{\mathrm{cyl}}(M_\phi) \;\cong\; \bigoplus_k \mathrm{HF}^*(\phi^k)^{\mathbb{Z}_k}$$

with $\mathrm{HF}^*(\phi^k)^{\mathbb{Z}_k} = \mathrm{HF}^*(\phi^k)$ when ϕ is Hamiltonian.

Proof. After applying an automorphism of the domain, note that for every $u \in \mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}_{\gamma^-}$ we can always assume that the induced covering map $h = \pi\circ u : \mathbb{R}\times S^1 \to \mathbb{R}\times S^1$ is given by $h(s,t) = (ks,kt)$ whenever $\gamma^\pm \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(\phi^k)$. On the other hand, we have $h^*(\mathbb{R}\times M_\phi) \cong \mathbb{R}\times M_{\phi^k}$, where the isomorphism however is only fixed after choosing one of the k roots of the k-fold covering of the cylinder by itself induced by $\mathbb{R}\times M_{\phi^k}\to\mathbb{R}\times M_\phi$.

In analogy to the relation between closed orbits and fixed points, it follows that there is a k-to-one correspondence between holomorphic maps in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}_{\gamma^-}$ of cylindrical contact cohomology and holomorphic sections in the union of the corresponding moduli spaces from Floer cohomology, where the union runs over all fixed points $x^\pm,\ldots,\phi^{k-1}(x^\pm)$ representing the closed orbits $\gamma^\pm$. In particular, we have

$$\#\,\mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}_{\gamma^-} \;=\; \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i^\pm=0}^{k-1}\mathcal{M}^{\phi^{i^+}(x^+)}_{\phi^{i^-}(x^-)}$$

for $\gamma^\pm = [x^\pm] = \ldots = [\phi^{k-1}(x^\pm)]$. Note that when γ^+ or γ^- is multiply-covered with multiplicity $\kappa_{\gamma^\pm}$ and hence some of the fixed points $x^\pm,\ldots,\phi^{k-1}(x^\pm)$ agree, we still need to count them as different, since for each holomorphic cylinder in $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}_{\gamma^-}$ there are now $\kappa_{\gamma^\pm}$ possible directions for the asymptotic marker.

On the other hand, since the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{x^+}_{x^-}$ from Floer cohomology is naturally isomorphic to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\phi^i(x^+)}_{\phi^i(x^-)}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, we find that

$$\sum_{i^-=0}^{k-1} \#\mathcal{M}^{x^+}_{\phi^{i^-}(x^-)} = \sum_{i^-=0}^{k-1} \#\mathcal{M}^{\phi^{i^+}(x^+)}_{\phi^{i^-}(x^-)}$$

for all $0 \leq i^+ \leq k-1$. Together with the above identity we find that

$$\#\mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}_{\gamma^-} = \sum_{i^-=0}^{k-1} \#\mathcal{M}^{x^+}_{\phi^{i^-}(x^-)}.$$

Using this we can show that the chain map inducing the desired isomorphism is given by

$$C^* \to \oplus_k \operatorname{CF}^*(\phi^k)^{\mathbb{Z}_k},\;\; \gamma \mapsto \frac{1}{\kappa_\gamma}(x + \ldots + \phi^{k-1}(x))$$

for $\gamma = [x] = \ldots = [\phi^{k-1}(x)]$. Indeed it follows that with respect to the above identification the differential $\partial : \oplus_k \operatorname{CF}^*(\phi^k)^{\mathbb{Z}_k} \to \oplus_k \operatorname{CF}^*(\phi^k)^{\mathbb{Z}_k}$ in Floer cohomology agrees with the differential in cylindrical contact cohomology,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial\Big(\frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma^-}}(x^- + \ldots + \phi^{k-1}(x^-))\Big)\\
&= \frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma^-}} \cdot \sum_{x^+,A} \Big(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \#\mathcal{M}^{x^+}_{\phi^i(x^-)}(A)\Big) \cdot\; x^+ z^A\\
&= \frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma^-}} \cdot \sum_{x^+,A} \#\mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}_{\gamma^-}(A) \cdot\, x^+ z^A\\
&= \frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma^-}} \cdot \sum_{\gamma^+,A} \#\mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}_{\gamma^-}(A) \cdot\, \frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma^+}}(x^+ + \ldots + \phi^{k-1}(x^+))z^A.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that when γ corresponds to a bad orbit, then its contributions to the Floer differential are zero by symmetry reasons.

Finally, for Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms with sufficiently C^2-small Hamiltonian (depending on k) note that all fixed points of ϕ^k correspond to critical points of the underlying Hamiltonian and hence are already fixed points of ϕ. It follows that $\operatorname{HF}^*(\phi^k)^{\mathbb{Z}_k} = \operatorname{HF}^*(\phi^k) = \operatorname{QH}^*(M)$ for such small Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms. From the invariance properties of Floer homology we then get $\operatorname{HF}^*(\phi^k)^{\mathbb{Z}_k} \cong \operatorname{QH}^*(M) \cong \operatorname{HF}^*(\phi^k)$ and hence $\operatorname{HF}^*(\phi^k)^{\mathbb{Z}_k} = \operatorname{HF}^*(\phi^k)$ for all Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms. □

Recall that the pair-of-pants product defines a product on the direct sum of the Floer cohomologies of all the different powers of ϕ,

$$\star : \bigoplus_k \operatorname{HF}^*(\phi^k) \otimes \bigoplus_k \operatorname{HF}^*(\phi^k) \to \bigoplus_k \operatorname{HF}^*(\phi^k).$$

Using the above relation between cylindrical contact cohomology and Floer cohomology, we can show that the pair-of-pants product indeed defines a product on cylindrical contact cohomology. For this we just need to show that the pair-of-pants product restricts to a product on the sum of the invariant subgroups of Floer cohomology.

Proposition 2.2. *The pair-of-pants product restricts to a product on the sum of the subgroups of invariant elements* $\bigoplus_k \operatorname{HF}^*(\phi^k)^{\mathbb{Z}_k}$ *and hence defines a product on* *cylindrical contact cohomology,*

$$\star : \mathrm{HC}^*_{\mathrm{cyl}}(M_\phi) \otimes \mathrm{HC}^*_{\mathrm{cyl}}(M_\phi) \to \mathrm{HC}^*_{\mathrm{cyl}}(M_\phi).$$

Proof. Similar as for holomorphic sections in $\mathbb{R} \times M_{\phi^k}$, one can identify elements in the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^{x_\infty}_{x_0,x_1}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\phi^i(x_\infty)}_{\phi^i(x_0),\phi^i(x_1)}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k_\infty - 1$ by composing the holomorphic sections in the symplectic fibre bundle $X_\phi = X^{k_0,k_1}_\phi$ from the first moduli space with the corresponding power ϕ^i of the symplectomorphism in the fibre. With this it immediately follows that the coefficient in front of some fixed point x_∞ of the pair-of-pants product of two invariants elements in $\bigoplus_k \mathrm{HF}^*(\phi^k)^{\mathbb{Z}_k}$ agrees with the coefficient in front of $\phi^i(x_\infty)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k_\infty - 1$. In other words, the product is again an element in $\bigoplus_k \mathrm{HF}^*(\phi^k)^{\mathbb{Z}_k}$. □

Introducing again formal variables q_γ for each closed orbit $\gamma \in \bigcup_k \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(\phi^k)$ with opposite grading $|q_\gamma| = -|\gamma| = -\mathrm{CZ}(\gamma) - 2(\dim M - 2)$ such that $\sum_\gamma q_\gamma \gamma \in H_0(\bigcup_k \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(\phi^k))$ is homogeneous of degree zero, observe that the chain space C^* of the cylindrical contact cohomology of the mapping torus M_ϕ, $C^* = \bigoplus_k \mathrm{CF}^*(\phi^k)$, can be identified with the tangent space $T_0\,\mathbf{Q}$ at zero of an infinite-dimensional linear coordinate space $\mathbf{Q}$ by identifying $\gamma \in C^*$ with $\partial/\partial q_\gamma \in T_0\,\mathbf{Q}$. Then the pair-of-pants product on the chain level provides us with a map $\star : T_0\,\mathbf{Q} \otimes T_0\,\mathbf{Q} \to T_0\,\mathbf{Q}$, where $T_0\,\mathbf{Q}$ denotes the tangent space to $\mathbf{Q}$ at $q = (q_\gamma) = 0$, which commutes with the differential $\partial : T_0\,\mathbf{Q} \to T_0\,\mathbf{Q}$ in cylindrical contact cohomology.

The desired deformed version of the pair-of-pants product should then provide us with a family of maps $\star_q : T_q\,\mathbf{Q} \otimes T_q\,\mathbf{Q} \to T_q\,\mathbf{Q}$ on each tangent space, that is, defines a $(1,2)$-tensor $\star \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,2)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ on the coordinate space $\mathbf{Q}$. On the other hand, in same way as the big quantum product counts holomorphic spheres with an arbitrary number of marked points, the big pair-of-pants product should count holomorphic sections in an appropriate symplectic fibre bundle with fibre (M,ω) over a punctured sphere with an arbitrary number of marked points.

It is well-known that the pair-of-pants product satisfies relevant properties like associativity and commutativity only after passing to Floer cohomology, i.e., cylindrical contact cohomology. Since the big pair-of-pants product should count holomorphic curves approaching an arbitrary number of fixed points, it is natural to assume that the differential of the correct homology theory also counts holomorphic curves with an arbitrary number of cylindrical ends. The central idea of the paper is that, by passing from the small to the big pair-of-pants product, on the underlying space of formal variables q_γ the cylindrical contact homology differential needs to be replaced by differential of full contact homology of the mapping torus.

Contact homology and differential graded manifolds. Following [7] and [8], the full contact cohomology of M_ϕ is defined as the cohomology of the chain complex

$$\mathrm{HC}^*(M_\phi) = H^*(\mathfrak{A}, \partial),$$

where the chain space $\mathfrak{A}$ consists of polynomials in the variables q_γ, $\gamma \in \bigcup_k \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(\phi^k)$ with coefficients which are Laurent series in $z_0, \ldots, z_N$. Note the grading of the variables q_γ differs from the original grading in [7] by sign, $|q_\gamma| = -|\gamma| = -\mathrm{CZ}(\gamma) - 2(\dim M - 2)$, in order to be consistent both with the original definition of Floer cohomology as well as the definition of cohomological vector fields and differential graded manifolds in [3]. While this does not change the computed (co)homology but just changes sign in the grading, we will continue to speak about (cylindrical) contact homology, see also the remark after the proof of theorem 2.2 below.

For the differential let $\gamma^+ \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(\phi^k)$ and $\Gamma = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{r-1})$ be an ordered set of closed orbits $\gamma_i \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(\phi^{k_i})$, $i = 0, \dots, r-1$ with $k_0 + \dots + k_{r-1} = k$. Then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}(\Gamma, A)$ consists of equivalence classes of tuples $(u, z_0, \dots, z_{r-1})$ with equivalence relation given by the action of the automorphisms of the domain and the $\mathbb{R}$-action on the target, see [7] for details.

Here $(z_0, \dots, z_{r-1})$ is a collection of marked points on $\mathbb{C} = S^2 \backslash \{\infty\}$ and u is a J-holomorphic map from the punctured sphere $\dot{S} = \mathbb{C} \backslash \{z_0 \dots, z_{r-1}\} = S^2 \backslash \{z_0 \dots, z_{r-1}, z_\infty = \infty\}$ to $\mathbb{R} \times M_\phi$. It is required to converge to the closed orbit γ^+ near ∞ at the positive end and $\gamma_i \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(\phi^{k_i})$ near z_i, $i = 0, \dots, r-1$ at the negative end of the cylinder, such that the induced map $h = \pi \circ u : \dot{S} \to \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \cong S^2 \backslash \{0, \infty\}$ defines a branched covering with branching points ∞ over ∞ of order k and z_i of order k_i, $i = 0, \dots, r-1$ over 0.

In order to be able to introduce coherent orientations and domain-dependent Hamiltonian perturbations, as discussed in the appendix, we assume again that there is an asymptotic marker at each puncture which gets mapped to the special point on the closed orbit of $\partial_t = J\partial_s$ corresponding to the fixed point. Since the special point determines a unique asymptotic marker, note that this does not change the moduli space.

When Γ consists of a single orbit γ^-, then we just get back the moduli spaces of cylindrical contact homology from before, $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}(\gamma^-) = \mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}_{\gamma^-}$. It is again shown in [1] that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}(\Gamma, A)$ is compact when the index is one and, when the index is two, can be compactified to a one-dimensional moduli space with boundary

$$\partial^1 \mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}(\Gamma, A) = \bigcup \mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}(\Gamma', A') \times \mathcal{M}^{\gamma}(\Gamma'', A'')$$

formed by moduli spaces of the same type.

The differential $\partial : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$ is then defined using the Leibniz rule by

$$\partial q_{\gamma^+} = \sum_{\Gamma, A} \frac{1}{r!} \frac{1}{\kappa^\Gamma} \sharp \mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}(\Gamma, A) \cdot \, q^\Gamma z^A$$

with $q^\Gamma = q_{\gamma_0} \cdot \ldots \cdot q_{\gamma_{r-1}}$, $\kappa^\Gamma = \kappa_{\gamma_0} \cdot \ldots \cdot \kappa_{\gamma_{r-1}}$ and the sum just runs over tuples (Γ, A) where the moduli space has the right dimension. For the combinatorical factor recall that in our modified setup we have k special points on each closed orbit of period $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (corresponding to the fixed points $\gamma, \phi(\gamma), \dots, \phi^{k-1}(\gamma)$), so that we need to cure for this overcounting as in the case of cylindrical contact cohomology. Note that when each of the fixed points is one-periodic, we again arrive at the original definition from [7].

The above compactness result for one-dimensional moduli spaces translates into $\partial \circ \partial = 0$, so that $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_\phi) = H^*(\mathfrak{A}^*, \partial)$ is well-defined. By combining the invariance proof for contact homology in [7] (where it is shown that it is independent of the choice of contact form) with the invariance proof in Floer cohomology and hence in cylindrical contact cohomology, it can further be shown that $\mathrm{HC}^*(M_\phi)$ is not only independent of the choice of cylindrical almost complex structure, but also depends on ϕ only up to Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms.

For the geometrical interpretation of the big pair-of-pants product, which we will define in the next section, we need a more geometrical view of contact homology. For this observe that the chain algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ can be identified with the algebra $\mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ of (polynomial) $(0,0)$-tensor fields (=functions) on the underlying coordinate super space $\mathbf{Q}$. On the other hand, the differential $\partial : \mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{Q} \to \mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ is given by the vector field

$$X = \sum_\gamma \Big(\sum_{\Gamma,A} \frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{\kappa^\Gamma} \sharp \mathcal{M}^\gamma(\Gamma,A)\cdot\; q^\Gamma z^A \Big) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\gamma} \;\in\; \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}\,.$$

Proposition 2.3. *The pair $(\mathbf{Q},X)$ defines a (infinite-dimensional) differential graded manifold $\mathbf{Q}_X$.*

Proof. For the definition of a differential graded manifold we refer to [3]. The master equation $\partial\circ\partial = 0$ for the differential $\partial : \mathfrak{A}\to\mathfrak{A}$ translates for the corresponding vector field $X \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ into the identity

$$[X,X] \;=\; 2X^2 \;=\; 0.$$

Here $[\cdot,\cdot]$ denotes the Lie bracket on vector fields and the first equality follows from the fact that X is homogeneous of degree $+1$, $|X| = +1$. In other words, X is an odd cohomological vector field (in the sense of [3]) and hence defines a differential graded manifold $\mathbf{Q}_X$. ☐

Note that the differential graded manifold $\mathbf{Q}_X$ is formal in the sense that we do not specify a topology on $\mathbf{Q}$ and hence on $\mathbf{Q}_X$. Nevertheless, the important property for us is that on the differential graded manifold $\mathbf{Q}_X$ one still has functions $\mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$ and vector fields $\mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$, which in turn can be used to define arbitrary tensor fields $\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$.

First we use the fact (already observed in [7] in the section on satellites) that the above identity for X implies that the Lie derivative defines a differential on arbitrary tensor fields $\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_X : \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q} \to \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q},\; \mathcal{L}_X\circ\mathcal{L}_X = 0.$$

While $\mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$ agrees with contact homology, the space of vector fields $\mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$ is defined using the Lie derivative,

$$\mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X \;:=\; H^*(\mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{Q},\mathcal{L}_X = X), \qquad \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X \;:=\; H^*(\mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q},\mathcal{L}_X = [X,\cdot]).$$

On the other hand, since the Lie derivative commutes with the contraction of tensors, we find that this also holds for arbitrary tensor fields,

$$\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X = H^*(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q},\mathcal{L}_X).$$

We end this subsection with discussing the relation between the differential graded manifold from contact homology and the affine manifold structure of cylindrical cohomology. In order to clarify the role of homology and cohomology, we refer to the remark at the end of the proof of the theorem below.

Observe that the contact homology differential naturally can be written as an infinite sum, $X = \sum_{r=1}^\infty X_r$, where $X_r \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ contains only those summands with q_γ-monomials of length r. In particular, it follows that the first summand X_1 agrees with the differential in cylindrical homology,

$$X_1 = \sum_{\gamma^+} \Big(\sum_{\gamma^-,A} \frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma^-}} \sharp \mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}_{\gamma^-}(A) \cdot \; q_{\gamma^-} z^A \Big) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma^+}} \; \in \; \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}\,.$$

Using this we can prove the following

Theorem 2.4. *For each $(r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ there exists a spectral sequence computing the tensor field homology $E_\infty = \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$ with E_2-page given by the corresponding space of tensor fields on the affine manifold of cylindrical contact cohomology, $E_2 = \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}_{X_1} = \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathrm{HC}^*_{\mathrm{cyl}}$.*

Proof. The result uses the spectral sequence for filtered complexes. The corresponding filtration subspaces $\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}_{\geq \ell}\,\mathbf{Q} \subset \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ are spanned by $q^\Gamma \partial/\partial q^{\Gamma^+} \otimes dq^{\Gamma^-}$ with $\#\Gamma \geq \ell$. While it is easy to see that the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_X$ respects this filtration, we furthermore have that $\mathcal{L}_{X_r}$ maps $\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}_{\geq \ell}\,\mathbf{Q}$ to $\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}_{\geq \ell+r-1}\,\mathbf{Q}$. On the other hand, the statement that $\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}_{X_1} = \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathrm{HC}^*_{\mathrm{cyl}}$, that is, the differential graded manifold Q_{X_1} agrees with the affine manifold of cylindrical contact cohomology, follows immediately from the definition of the Lie derivative with respect to X_1 using $X_1(q_{\gamma^+}) = \sum_{\gamma^-,A} \frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma^-}} \sharp \mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}_{\gamma^-}(A) \cdot q_{\gamma^-} z^A$, $[X_1, \partial/\partial q_{\gamma^-}] = \sum_{\gamma^+,A} \frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma^-}} \sharp \mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}_{\gamma^-}(A) \cdot \partial/\partial q_{\gamma^+} z^A$. □

Remark: Note that while the first formula for the coordinates q_γ is the differential in cylindrical contact homology,the second formula for the tangent vectors $\partial/\partial q_\gamma$ (corresponding to points γ) is the differential in cylindrical contact cohomology from before. Since the grading of q_γ and $\partial/\partial q_\gamma$ differs by sign, both differentials raise the grading by one.

2.2. **Big pair-of-pants product.**

Holomorphic maps and sections. In this section we define the big version of the pair-of-pants product using the differential graded manifold from contact homology. As a starting point, we relate the moduli spaces of holomorphic sections needed to define the pair-of-pants product with the moduli spaces of holomorphic curves appearing in the differential of contact homology.

For this recall that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)$ from contact homology consists of maps $u = (a,f) : \dot{S} = S^2 \backslash \{0,1,\infty\} \to \mathbb{R} \times M_\phi$ with $f(z) \to \gamma_i$ as $z \to i$, $i = 0,1,\infty$, up to $\mathbb{R}$-translation in the target. Here we use that after fixing $z_0 = 0$, $z_1 = 1$ there are no nontrivial automorphisms of the domain. Furthermore every map u determines a branched holomorphic map $h : \dot{S} \to \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \cong S^2 \backslash \{0,\infty\}$ which can be extended to a map from S^2 to itself with z_0, z_1 being branch points of order k_0, k_1 over 0 and z_∞ a branch point of order $k_\infty = k_0 + k_1$ over ∞ whenever $\gamma_i \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(\phi^{k_i})$.

With our choice of coordinates given by $z_0 = 0$, $z_1 = 1$ and $z_\infty = \infty$, every such map is given by $h(z) = a \cdot z^{k_0}(z-1)^{k_1}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}^* \cong \mathbb{R} \times S^1$. This in turn defines a map from the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)$ to the circle (after dividing out the $\mathbb{R}$-shift on the target),

$$\pi : \mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1) \to S^1.$$

With this we define the submoduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}$ of $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)$ to be the fibre over $0 \in S^1$ ($a = 1$), i.e. $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}$ consists of maps $u \in \mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)$ such that the induced branched covering map $h = \pi \circ u : S^2 \to S^2$ is given by $h(z) = z^{k_0}(z-1)^{k_1}$.

Note that this choice of a submoduli space is coherent with respect to compactness and gluing in the sense that the induced embedding of

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma}^{\gamma_\infty} \times \mathcal{M}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}^{\gamma} \cup \mathcal{M}_{\gamma_0,\gamma}^{\gamma_\infty} \times \mathcal{M}_{\gamma_1}^{\gamma} \cup \mathcal{M}_{\gamma,\gamma_1}^{\gamma_\infty} \times \mathcal{M}_{\gamma_0}^{\gamma}$$

into

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma}^{\gamma_\infty} \times \mathcal{M}^{\gamma}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1) \cup \mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma) \times \mathcal{M}_{\gamma_1}^{\gamma} \cup \mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma,\gamma_1) \times \mathcal{M}_{\gamma_0}^{\gamma}$$

defines an embedding of the codimension-one boundary of $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}^{\gamma_\infty}$ into the codimension-one boundary of $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1)$.

Proposition 2.5. *Using the new submoduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}^{\gamma_\infty}$, the pair-of-pants product on cylindrical contact cohomology can be defined on the chain level by*

$$\gamma_0 \star \gamma_1 \;=\; \sum_{\gamma_\infty, A} \frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma_0}\kappa_{\gamma_1}} \cdot \#\, \mathcal{M}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}^{\gamma_\infty}(A) \cdot\; \gamma_\infty z^A.$$

Proof. Comparing the monodromy around the punctures, it is easy to see that the symplectic fibre bundle $h_0^*(\mathbb{R} \times M_\phi)$ over $\dot{S} = S^2 \backslash \{0,1,\infty\}$ given by the preferred map $h_0(z) = z^{k_0}(z-1)^{k_1}$ is isomorphic to the symplectic fibre bundle $X_\phi = X_\phi^{k_0,k_1}$ used in the definition of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{x_0,x_1}^{x_\infty}$ with $[x_i] = \gamma_i$, $i = 0,1,\infty$, equipped with the pullback almost complex structure $J_z = J_{h_0(z)}$. As in the proof of the isomorphism between cylindrical contact cohomology and Floer cohomology, note that this isomorphism however is only fixed after choosing one of the k roots of the k-fold covering of the S^2 by itself given by h_0.

As for the moduli spaces of cylinders it follows that there is a k-to-one correspondence ($k = k_\infty$) between holomorphic maps in the new submoduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}^{\gamma_\infty}$ and holomorphic sections in the union of the corresponding moduli spaces from the original definition of the pair-of-pants product, where the union now runs over all fixed points $x_i, \ldots, \phi^{k_i-1}(x_i)$ representing the closed orbits γ_i with $i = 0,1,\infty$. In particular, we have

$$\#\, \mathcal{M}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}^{\gamma_\infty} \;=\; \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i_0=0}^{k_0-1} \sum_{i_1=0}^{k_1-1} \sum_{i_\infty=0}^{k_\infty-1} \mathcal{M}_{\phi^{i_0}(x_0),\phi^{i_1}(x_1)}^{\phi^{i_\infty}(x_\infty)}$$

for $\gamma_i = [x_i] = \ldots = [\phi^{k_i-1}(x_i)]$, $i = 0,1,\infty$. Note that when one of the γ_i is multiply-covered with multiplicity κ_{γ_i} and hence some of the fixed points $x_i, \ldots, \phi^{k_i-1}(x_i)$ agree, we still need to count them as different, since for each holomorphic map in $\mathcal{M}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}^{\gamma_\infty}$ there are now κ_{γ_i} possible directions for the asymptotic marker.

On the other hand, since the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{x_0,x_1}^{x_\infty}$ from Floer cohomology is naturally isomorphic to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\phi^i(x_0),\phi^i(x_1)}^{\phi^i(x_\infty)}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we find that

$$\sum_{i_0=0}^{k_0-1} \sum_{i_1=0}^{k_1-1} \#\, \mathcal{M}_{\phi^{i_0}(x_0),\phi^{i_1}(x_1)}^{x_\infty} \;=\; \sum_{i_0=0}^{k_0-1} \sum_{i_1=0}^{k_1-1} \#\, \mathcal{M}_{\phi^{i_0}(x_0),\phi^{i_1}(x_1)}^{\phi^{i_\infty}(x_\infty)}$$

for all $0 \le i_\infty \le k_\infty - 1$. Together with the above identity we find that

$$\#\, \mathcal{M}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}^{\gamma_\infty} \;=\; \sum_{i_0=0}^{k_0-1} \sum_{i_1=0}^{k_1-1} \#\, \mathcal{M}_{\phi^{i_0}(x_0),\phi^{i_1}(x_1)}^{x_\infty}\,.$$

Using this we can show that the pair-of-pants product is given as in the statement. In order to translate the pair-of-pants product from Floer cohomology to cylindrical contact cohomology, we use that the isomorphism between $\mathrm{HC}^*_{\mathrm{cyl}}(M_\phi)$ and $\bigoplus_k \mathrm{HF}^*(\phi^k)^{\mathbb{Z}_k}$ is given on the chain level by the chain map

$$C^* \to \oplus_k \mathrm{CF}^*(\phi^k)^{\mathbb{Z}_k},\ \ \gamma \mapsto \frac{1}{\kappa_\gamma}(x + \ldots + \phi^{k-1}(x))$$

for $\gamma = [x] = \ldots = [\phi^{k-1}(x)]$. With this we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma_0}}\sum_{i_0=0}^{k_0-1}\phi^{i_0}(x_0)\Big) \star \Big(\frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma_1}}\sum_{i_1=0}^{k_1-1}\phi^{i_1}(x_1)\Big)\\
&= \frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma_0}\kappa_{\gamma_1}}\cdot\sum_{x_\infty,A}\Big(\sum_{i_0=0}^{k_0-1}\sum_{i_1=0}^{k_1-1}\#\mathcal{M}^{x_\infty}_{\phi^{i_0}(x_0),\phi^{i_1}(x_1)}(A)\Big)\cdot\ x_\infty z^A\\
&= \frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma_0}\kappa_{\gamma_1}}\cdot\sum_{x_\infty,A}\#\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(A)\cdot\ x_\infty z^A\\
&= \frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma_0}\kappa_{\gamma_1}}\cdot\sum_{\gamma_\infty,A}\#\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(A)\cdot\ \frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma_\infty}}(x_\infty + \ldots + \phi^{k_\infty-1}(x_\infty))z^A.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Note that with this new definition, it follows that the small pair-of-pants product now commutes on the chain level with the differential in cylindrical contact cohomology.

New moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma)$. We emphasize that the request for special choices of almost complex structures will not be a problem for transversality, since we have shown in [8] (see the appendix) that it suffices to introduce domain-dependent Hamiltonian perturbations. The key observation in the proof is that there is a preferred map from the holomorphic sphere with three marked points to the cylinder using the canonical coordinates determined by the three points. When there are more than three marked points on the sphere, such canonical coordinates only exist whenever one selects three marked points from the given r marked points.

Let us assume that we use the first two and the last marked point to define coordinates by setting $z_0 = 0$, $z_1 = 1$ and $z_\infty = \infty$. Observing that there are again no nontrivial automorphisms of the domain, every element $(u, z_0 = 0, z_1 = 1, z_2, \ldots, z_{r-1}, z_\infty = \infty)$ in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \Gamma)$ defines a branched holomorphic map $h = \pi \circ u : \dot{S} = S^2 \backslash \{z_0, \ldots, z_\infty\} \to \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ given by

$$h(z)\ =\ a \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(z - z_i)^{k_i}\ =\ a \cdot z^{k_0} \cdot (z-1)^{k_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot (z - z_{r-1})^{k_{r-1}}.$$

After dividing out the $\mathbb{R}$-translation of the domain and viewing $a \in \mathbb{C}^* \cong \mathbb{R} \times S^1$, every J-holomorphic curve in $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \Gamma)$ hence still defines a point on the circle, which shows that there still exists a map $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \Gamma) \to S^1$.

Definition 2.6. *For any choice of* γ_0, γ_1, γ_∞ *and* Γ, *we define* $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma)$ *to be the fibre over* $0 \in S^1$ *of the map* $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma) \to S^1$ *defined above. In other words, the moduli space* $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma)$ *consists of holomorphic maps* $(u, z_2, \ldots, z_{r-1}) \in \mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Gamma)$ *such that the induced branching map* $h = \pi \circ u : \dot{S} = S^2 \backslash \{0, 1, z_2, \ldots, z_{r-1}, \infty\} \to \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ *is given by the preferred map* $h(z) = h_0(z) = \prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(z - z_i)^{k_i}$.

Big pair-of-pants product. In view of the above proposition, the new moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma)$ are generalizations of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}$ used for the definition of the pair-of-pants product in the sense that

$$\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1} \;=\; \mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\emptyset),$$

that is, they appear as special case when $\Gamma = \emptyset$. On the other hand, they count holomorphic curves with an arbitrary number of punctures. In order to see that they contain the objects that we want to count (see the discussion in the last section), we observe that every J-holomorphic map $(u, z_2, \dots, z_{r-1})$ in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma)$ can be viewed as a holomorphic section in the symplectic pullback bundle $h_0^*(\mathbb{R} \times M_\phi)$ over the punctured sphere $\dot{S} = S^2 \backslash \{0, 1, z_2, \dots, \infty\}$ given by the preferred branching map $h_0 : \dot{S} \to \mathbb{R} \times S^1$, $h_0(z) = \prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(z - z_i)^{k_i}$. Together with proposition 2.5 this motivates the following definition.

Definition 2.7. *On the chain level, the* big pair-of-pants product *(for the symplectomorphism ϕ) is defined to be the $(1,2)$-tensor field $\star \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,2)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ on the super space $\mathbf{Q}$ given at each point $q = (q_\gamma) \in \mathbf{Q}$ by $\star_q : T_q\,\mathbf{Q} \otimes T_q\,\mathbf{Q} \to T_q\,\mathbf{Q}$ with*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \star_q \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1}} \;:=\; \sum_{\gamma_\infty} \Big(\sum_{\Gamma, A} \frac{1}{(r-2)!} \frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma_0}\kappa_{\gamma_1}\kappa^\Gamma} \# \mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma, A) \cdot\, q^\Gamma z^A \Big) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_\infty}}.$$

In analogy to Gromov-Witten theory, from $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1} = \mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\emptyset)$ it follows that the big pair-of-pants product is indeed a deformation of the classical pair-of-pants product in the sense that at $q = (q_\gamma) = 0$ it agrees with the small product,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \star_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1}} \;=\; \sum_{\gamma_\infty} \frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma_0}\kappa_{\gamma_1}} \cdot \Big(\sum_{A} \# \mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(A) \cdot\, z^A \Big) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_\infty}}.$$

Like for the small pair-of-pants product, we can only expect the big pair-of-pants product to satisfy algebraic properties like associativity and commutativity when viewing it as an element in some cohomology. The main step is to show that the big pair-of-pants product indeed defines an element on cohomology, that is, descends from the super space $\mathbf{Q}$ to the the differential graded manifold $\mathbf{Q}_X$ from contact homology.

Theorem 2.8. *The big pair-of-pants product $\star \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,2)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ and the homological vector field $X \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ from contact homology satisfy*

$$\mathcal{L}_X \star = 0.$$

In particular, the big pair-of-pants product defines an element in the tensor homology $H^*(\mathcal{T}^{(1,2)}\,\mathbf{Q}, \mathcal{L}_X)$, and hence descends to a $(1,2)$-tensor field $\star \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,2)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$ on the differential graded manifold $\mathbf{Q}_X$,

$$\star : \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X \otimes \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X \to \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X\,.$$

Of course, the proof of the theorem relies on the translation from geometry into algebra of a compactness result for the new moduli spaces. This is the content of the following lemma. We emphasize that all occuring products of moduli spaces are to be understood as direct products as in [7], see also the appearance of combinatorical factors in the subsequent proof of the theorem.

Lemma 2.9. *The moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma)$ can be compactified in such a way that the codimension-one boundary has the following components*

(1) $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma') \times \mathcal{M}^{\gamma}(\Gamma'')$ *with* $\gamma \in \Gamma'$,
(2) $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma,\gamma_1}(\Gamma') \times \mathcal{M}^{\gamma}(\Gamma'') \cup \mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma}(\Gamma') \times \mathcal{M}^{\gamma}(\Gamma'')$ *with* $\gamma_0, \gamma_1 \in \Gamma''$,
(3) $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\Gamma') \times \mathcal{M}^{\gamma}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma'')$ *with* $\gamma \in \Gamma'$.

Proof. First, it is just a combinatorical exercise to deduce from the compactness result for the moduli space for contact homology stated above that the codimension one boundary of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1,\Gamma)$ has the corresponding components

1. $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1,\Gamma')\times\mathcal{M}^{\gamma}(\Gamma'')$ with $\gamma\in\Gamma'$,
2. $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma,\gamma_1,\Gamma')\times\mathcal{M}^{\gamma}(\Gamma'')\cup\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma,\Gamma')\times\mathcal{M}^{\gamma}(\Gamma'')$ with $\gamma_0,\gamma_1\in\Gamma''$,
3. $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\Gamma')\times\mathcal{M}^{\gamma}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1,\Gamma'')$ with $\gamma\in\Gamma'$.

For this observe that after splitting up into a two-level holomorphic curve either the three special punctures still lie on the same component (which leads to components of type 1) or there are two special punctures on one component and one special puncture on the other component (which leads to components of type 2 and 3).

Then it remains to prove that the map from the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1,\Gamma)$ to the circle, used to define $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma)$, is again compatible with compactness and gluing of moduli spaces. Here we need to show that the preferred map on the smooth curve converges to the preferred map on the component carrying two or three special marked points. Since the preferred holomorphic map is selected by the choice of special coordinates on S^2 given by the three special marked points, one must study the degeneration of the underlying curve to a singular nodal curve as seen from these special coordinates. For this we distinguish as above between the first case where all special punctures sit on one component (type 1) and the second case where they split up (type 2 and 3).

First case: Assume for simplicity that in the limit the marked points $z_{r'},\ldots,z_{r-1}$ ($r'<r$) sit on the second component. Viewed from the coordinates given by $z_0=0$, $z_1=1$ and $z_\infty=\infty$, it follows that these marked points must converge to a single point different from the other marked points,

$$z_{r'},\ldots,z_{r-1}\longrightarrow z'\in S^2\backslash\{z_0,\ldots,z_{r'-1},z_\infty\}.$$

With this it follows that the preferred map $h_0:S^2\backslash\{z_0,\ldots,z_\infty\}$ converges to a new preferred holomorphic map $h_0':S^2\backslash\{z_0,\ldots,z_{r'-1},z',z_\infty\}\to\mathbb{R}\times S^1$ given by

$$h_0'(z)=z^{k_0}(z-1)^{k_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot(z-z_{r'-1})^{k_{r'-1}}\cdot(z-z')^{k'},$$

where the multiplicity for z' is given by the sum of the multiplicities of the marked points converging to it,

$$k' \;=\; k_{r'}+\ldots+k_{r-1}.$$

Second case: Here we assume without loss of generality that in the limit the marked points $z_{r'},\ldots,z_{r-1}$ ($r'<r$) and the special marked point z_1 sit on the second component. Viewed from the coordinates given by $z_0=0$, $z_1=1$ and $z_\infty=\infty$, it follows that $z_{r'},\ldots,z_{r-1}$ must converge to $z_1=1$,

$$z_{r'},\ldots,z_{r-1}\longrightarrow z_1=1.$$

With this it follows that the preferred map $h_0:S^2\backslash\{z_0,\ldots,z_\infty\}$ now converges to another new preferred holomorphic map $h_0':S^2\backslash\{z_0,z_1,\ldots,z_{r'-1},z_\infty\}\to\mathbb{R}\times S^1$ given by

$$h_0'(z)=z^{k_0}(z-1)^{k'}\cdot\ldots\cdot(z-z_{r'-1})^{k_{r'-1}},$$

where the multiplicity for $z_1=1$ is again given by the old multiplicity plus the sum of the multiplicities of the marked points converging to it,

$$k' \;=\; k_1+k_{r'}+\ldots+k_{r-1}.$$

□

For the proof of the theorem it remains to translate the geometrical result of the lemma into algebra.

Proof. (of the theorem) Using the definition of the Lie derivative of higher tensors, we obtain for any choice of basis vectors $\partial/\partial q_{\gamma_0},\ \partial/\partial q_{\gamma_1} \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ and forms $dq_{\gamma_\infty} \in \mathcal{T}^{(0,1)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_X \star)\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1}} \otimes dq_{\gamma_\infty}\Big) &= \mathcal{L}_X \Big(\star\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1}} \otimes dq_{\gamma_\infty}\Big)\Big) \\ &- \star\Big(\mathcal{L}_X \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1}} \otimes dq_{\gamma_\infty}\Big) \\ &- (-1)^{|q_{\gamma_0}|}\star\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_X \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1}} \otimes dq_{\gamma_\infty}\Big) \\ &- (-1)^{|q_{\gamma_0}|+|q_{\gamma_1}|}\star\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_X\, dq_{\gamma_\infty}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Now using the definition of $\star \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,2)}\,\mathbf{Q}$,

$$\star\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1}} \otimes dq_{\gamma_\infty}\Big) = \sum_{\Gamma,A} \frac{1}{(r-2)!}\frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma_0}\kappa_{\gamma_1}\kappa^\Gamma}\#\,\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma)\cdot\ q^\Gamma z^A$$

we find that the first summand is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{L}_X\Big(\sum_{\Gamma,A}\frac{1}{(r-2)!}\frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma_0}\kappa_{\gamma_1}\kappa^\Gamma}\#\,\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma)\cdot\ q^\Gamma z^A\Big) \\ &= \sum_{\Gamma,A}\frac{1}{(r-2)!}\frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma_0}\kappa_{\gamma_1}\kappa^\Gamma}\#\,\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma)\cdot\ \mathcal{L}_X\, q^\Gamma\cdot z^A.\end{aligned}$$

Together with

$$\mathcal{L}_X\, q_\gamma = X(q_\gamma) = \sum_{\Gamma,A}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{\kappa^\Gamma}\sharp\,\mathcal{M}^\gamma(\Gamma,A)\cdot\ q^\Gamma z^A$$

and using the Leibniz rule, we find that the first summand is precisely counting the boundary components of type 1, $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma')\times\mathcal{M}^\gamma(\Gamma'')$. For the combinatorical factors, observe that there are κ_γ ways to glue two holomorphic curves along a multiply-covered orbit γ.

For the other summands, we can show in the same way that they correspond to the other boundary components.

Indeed, using

$$\mathcal{L}_X \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} = \frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} = \sum_\gamma\sum_{\Gamma,A}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma_0}\kappa^\Gamma}\sharp\,\mathcal{M}^\gamma((\gamma_0,\Gamma),A)q^\Gamma z^A\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial q_\gamma}$$

(and similar for γ_1), it follows that the second and the third summand correspond to boundary components $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma,\gamma_1}(\Gamma')\times\mathcal{M}^\gamma(\Gamma'')$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma}(\Gamma')\times\mathcal{M}^\gamma(\Gamma'')$ with $\gamma_0,\gamma_1\in\Gamma''$ of type 2. For the combinatorical factors we refer to the remark above.

Finally, using

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{L}_X\, dq_{\gamma_\infty})\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_\gamma}\Big) &= -(-1)^{|q_{\gamma_\infty}|}\, dq_{\gamma_\infty}\Big(\frac{\partial X}{\partial q_\gamma}\Big) \\ &= -(-1)^{|q_{\gamma_\infty}|}\sum_{\Gamma,A}\frac{1}{r!}\frac{1}{\kappa_\gamma\kappa^\Gamma}\sharp\,\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}((\gamma,\Gamma),A)\cdot\ q^\Gamma z^A\end{aligned}$$

we find that the last summand corresponds to boundary components $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\Gamma') \times \mathcal{M}^{\gamma}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma'')$ with $\gamma \in \Gamma'$ of type 3, where the combinatorical factors are treated as above. ☐

Before we turn to the corresponding generalization of the Frobenius manifold concept, we discuss the relation between the big pair-of-pants product and the (small) pair-of-pants product. While we have already seen that the small pair-of-pants product $\star_0 : T_0\,\mathbf{Q} \otimes T_0\,\mathbf{Q} \to T_0\,\mathbf{Q}$ agrees with the big pair-of-pants product $\star \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,2)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ at $q = (q_\gamma) = 0$ on the chain level, the following statement on cohomology is a nontrivial consequence of the vanishing of the contact homology vector field $X \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ at the origin $q = 0$ in $\mathbf{Q}$. Using the latter, we can prove that there is a well-defined restriction map for tensor fields in $\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$ at the origin $0 \in \mathbf{Q}$.

Theorem 2.10. *At $q = 0$ the big pair-of-pants product $\star \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,2)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X = H^*(\mathcal{T}^{(1,2)}\,\mathbf{Q}, \mathcal{L}_X)$ restricts to the small pair-of-pants product $\star_0 : \mathrm{HC}_{\mathrm{cyl}} \otimes \mathrm{HC}_{\mathrm{cyl}} \to \mathrm{HC}_{\mathrm{cyl}}$.*

Proof. For the proof we show that the Lie derivative of a tensor field $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,2)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ in the direction of X at $q = 0$ can be computed from the restriction of the tensor $\alpha_0 = \alpha|_{q=0}$ at $q = 0$ and the cylindrical contact cohomology differential $\partial : T_0\,\mathbf{Q} \otimes T_0\,\mathbf{Q} \to T_0\,\mathbf{Q}$,

$$(\mathcal{L}_X\,\alpha)|_{q=0} \;=\; \alpha_0 \circ (\partial \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \partial) \;-\; \partial \circ \alpha_0.$$

As we mentioned above, this result crucially relies on the fact that $X = 0$ at $q = 0$ and clearly holds for arbitrary tensor fields.

First, using the definition of the Lie derivative for tensor fields, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathcal{L}_X\,\alpha)|_{q=0}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}}\Big|_{q=0} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}}\Big|_{q=0} \otimes dq_{\gamma_\infty}|_{q=0}\Big) \\
&= \;\Big(\mathcal{L}_X\,\alpha\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \otimes dq_{\gamma_\infty}\Big)\Big)\Big|_{q=0}
\end{aligned}$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(X\Big(\alpha\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \otimes dq_{\gamma_\infty}\Big)\Big)\Big)\Big|_{q=0} \\
&- \Big(\alpha\Big(\mathcal{L}_X\,\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1}} \otimes dq_{\gamma_\infty}\Big)\Big)\Big|_{q=0} \\
&- (-1)^{|q_{\gamma_0}|}\Big(\alpha\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_X\,\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1}} \otimes dq_{\gamma_\infty}\Big)\Big)\Big|_{q=0} \\
&- (-1)^{|q_{\gamma_0}|+|q_{\gamma_1}|}\Big(\alpha\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_X\,dq_{\gamma_\infty}\Big)\Big)\Big|_{q=0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now employing that $X|_{q=0} = 0$ since there are no holomorphic planes, we find that the first summand involving the derivative of α vanishes and only the other summands involving only the value of the tensor field at the point zero remain,

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \alpha_0\Big(\Big(\mathcal{L}_X\,\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}}\Big)\Big|_{q=0} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1}}\Big|_{q=0} \otimes dq_{\gamma_\infty}|_{q=0}\Big) \\
&- (-1)^{|q_{\gamma_0}|}\alpha_0\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}}\Big|_{q=0} \otimes \Big(\mathcal{L}_X\,\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1}}\Big)\Big|_{q=0} \otimes dq_{\gamma_\infty}|_{q=0}\Big) \\
&- (-1)^{|q_{\gamma_0}|+|q_{\gamma_1}|}\alpha_0\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}}\Big|_{q=0} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1}}\Big|_{q=0} \otimes (\mathcal{L}_X\,dq_{\gamma_\infty})|_{q=0}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

With the observation that the cylindrical contact cohomology differential ∂ is given by $\partial : T_0\,\mathbf{Q} \to T_0\,\mathbf{Q}$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma^-}}\Big|_{q=0} \;\mapsto\; \Big(\mathcal{L}_X\,\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma^-}}\Big)\Big|_{q=0} \;=\; \frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{\gamma^-}}\Big|_{q=0} \;=\; \sum_{\gamma^+,A} \frac{1}{\kappa_{\gamma^-}}\sharp\mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}_{\gamma^-}(A) z^A \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma^+}}\Big|_{q=0},$$

the claim follows. $\square$

Remark: Note that the same proof shows that one can define a deformed version $\star_{q_0}$ of the small pair-of-pants product at all points $q_0 \in \mathbf{Q}$ with $X(q_0) = 0$. It lives on the deformed cylindrical contact homology $\mathrm{HC}_{\mathrm{cyl},q_0} = H^*(T_{q_0}\,\mathbf{Q}, \partial_{q_0})$ with the same chain space $T_{q_0}\,\mathbf{Q} \cong T_0\,\mathbf{Q}$ but deformed differential given by

$$\partial_{q_0} : \; T_{q_0}\,\mathbf{Q} \to T_{q_0}\,\mathbf{Q},\; \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\gamma}\Big|_{q=q_0} \;\mapsto\; \frac{\partial X}{\partial q_\gamma}\Big|_{q=q_0}.$$

2.3. **Cohomology F-manifolds.** Note that a unit can be added in by allowing additional marked points on the holomorphic curves which are unconstrained (in the sense that one integrates over the moduli space the pullback under the evaluation map of the canonical zero-form $1 \in H^0(M) = H^0(S^1, \mathcal{H}^0(M))$), and enlarging the coordinate space $\mathbf{Q}$ by a new coordinate q_1 keeping track of these additional points. Since this does not add new contributions to the contact homology vector field X, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_X\,\frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} \;=\; 0$$

and hence $\partial/\partial q_1 \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$. On the other hand, the big pair-of-pants product tensor $\star$ now additionally counts rigid orbit cylinders with one marked point, which shows that $\partial/\partial q_1$ is indeed the unit for the $\star_q$-product on each tangent space $T_q\,\mathbf{Q}$.

Furthermore we observe that, as for the Frobenius manifolds in Gromov-Witten theory, we can define a so-called Euler vector field on the chain space $\mathbf{Q}$ of the sum of the Floer cohomologies. In order to ensure that we really get a vector field on $\mathbf{Q}$, from now on we want to restrict to the case of vanishing first Chern class, that is, we assume that $c_1(A) = 0$ for all $A \in \pi_2(M)$. Under this assumption the Euler vector field E is given by

$$E \;=\; \sum_\gamma (-\,\mathrm{CZ}(\gamma) - 2(\dim M - 2)) \cdot \; q_\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\gamma} \;+\; 2 \cdot q_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}\,.$$

With this we now can state the main theorem of the paper.

Theorem 2.11. *The tuple $(\mathbf{Q}, X, \star, e, E)$, consisting of the coordinate super space $\mathbf{Q}$ and the differential vector field X of contact homology, the big pair-of-pants product $\star$, the unit vector field $e = \partial/\partial q_1$ and the Euler vector field E, defines a* cohomology F-manifold *in the sense that*

- $\mathbf{Q}$ *is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space,*
- $E \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ *is an Euler vector field in the sense that $Ef = |f|f$ for all homogeneous functions $f \in \mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}$,*
- $X \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ *satiesfies $[X,X] = 2X^2 = 0$, $[E,X] = X$ and $X(0) = 0$, so that $(\mathbf{Q}, X)$ defines a differential graded manifold $\mathbf{Q}_X$ with a grading operator $\mathcal{L}_E : \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X \to \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$ given by E and a restriction map at the origin $0 \in \mathbf{Q}$ for all tensor fields,*

- *$\star \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,2)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}_X \star = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_E \star = 2\star$, and the induced map $\star : \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X \otimes \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X \to \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$ is a graded commutative and associative product,*

- *$e = \partial/\partial q_1 \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ satisfies $[X, e] = 0$ and $e \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$ is a unit for the multiplication given by $\star$.*

Remark: In his papers, Merkulov is working with different definitions of cohomology F-manifolds and F_∞-manifolds, a generalization of cohomology F-manifold making use of the higher homotopies of the product. The definition used in this paper is an adaption of the definition of cohomology F-manifolds from [13] in view of the original definition of F_∞-manifolds from the first paper [12]. Note that Merkulov allows $\mathbf{Q}$ to be any formal pointed graded manifold, which is more general in the sense that each (graded) vector space naturally carries the structure of a formal pointed (graded) manifold with the special point being the origin, see [11]. Furthermore, we remark that our cohomology F-manifolds are indeed infinite-dimensional and formal in the sense that we do not specify a topology on them.

Proof. The proof splits up into three parts.

Commutativity: In order to prove that (up to sign determined by $|q_{\gamma_0}|$ and $|q_{\gamma_1}|$)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \star_q \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1}} \star_q \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$$

on cohomology (!), we construct a homotopy connecting the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma)$ with the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_1,\gamma_0}(\Gamma)$. While the ambient moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1,\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_1,\gamma_0,\Gamma)$ from contact homology can naturally be identified, note that the choice of coordinates given by the three punctures and hence the preferred map used to define $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma)$, $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_1,\gamma_0}(\Gamma)$ inside $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1,\Gamma)$, $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_1,\gamma_0,\Gamma)$ depends on the ordering of the two special punctures.

In order to obtain the desired homotopy, it suffices to choose a homotopy from $(z_0^0 = 0, z_1^0 = 1, z_2, \ldots, z_\infty = \infty)$ to $(z_0^1 = 1, z_1^1 = 0, z_2, \ldots, z_\infty = \infty)$, that is, two non-intersecting paths $[0,1] \to S^2 \backslash \{z_2, \ldots, \infty\}$, $t \mapsto z_0^t, z_1^t$ from 0 to 1 and 1 to 0, respectively. With this we can define the connecting moduli space

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma) \;:=\; \bigcup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty,t}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma) \;\subset\; \mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1,\Gamma) \times [0,1],$$

where for each $t \in [0,1]$ the submoduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty,t}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}$ consists of holomorphic curves $(u, z_0^t, z_1^t, z_2, \ldots, z_\infty) \in \mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1,\Gamma)$ such that the induced branched covering map $h = \pi \circ u : S^2 \backslash \{z_0^t, z_1^t, z_2, \ldots, z_\infty\} \to \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ agrees with the preferred map given by $(z_0^t, z_1^t, z_2, \ldots, z_\infty)$.

Since the boundary of any one-dimensional moduli space $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma)$ consists of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma)$, $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_1,\gamma_0}(\Gamma)$ and moduli spaces of two-level curves whose contribution can be algebraically expressed using the Lie derivative with respect to the contact homology vector field X, we obtain a homotopy in the algebraic sense of [7], which proves that the product is graded commutative on the level of cohomology.

Associativity: Using commutativity we want to show that (again up to sign)

$$\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \star \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1^0}}\Big) \star_q \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1^1}} \;=\; \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_0}} \star_q \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1^1}}\Big) \star_q \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma_1^0}} \;\in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X\,.$$

For this we need to compare the count of elements in the product moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1^0}(\Gamma') \times \mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma,\gamma_1^1}(\Gamma'')$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1^1}(\Gamma') \times \mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma,\gamma_1^0}(\Gamma'')$ appearing as coefficients in the series expansion of the double product. For a sufficiently large gluing parameter $R > 0$ their count agrees (on the chain level) with the count of elements in the submoduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty,R}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1^0,\gamma_1^1}(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty,R}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1^1,\gamma_1^0}(\Gamma)$ of $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1^0,\gamma_1^1,\Gamma)$, $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1^1,\gamma_1^0,\Gamma)$, respectively. They consist of holomorphic maps $(u, z_0 = 0, z_1^0, z_1^1, z_2, \ldots, z_\infty = \infty)$ such that $(z_1^0, z_1^1) = (1/R, R)$ and such that again $\pi \circ u$ agrees with the preferred holomorphic map given by the given coordinates on S^2. Using the natural identification of $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1^0,\gamma_1^1,\Gamma)$ with $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1^1,\gamma_1^0,\Gamma)$, get that we just need to interchange the coordinates of z_1^0 and z_1^1 to get from one moduli space to the other. As in the proof of commutativity we can show that the count of elements hence agrees after passing to homology.

Grading: The fact that the big pair-of-pants product counts holomorphic curves with Fredholm index two (they are virtually rigid after dividing out the $\mathbb{R}$- as well as the S^1-symmetry on the map to the cylinder) translates directly into the equation $\mathcal{L}_E \star = 2\star$. In the same way it follows from the fact that the contact homology vector field is counting holomorphic curves with Fredholm index one that $\mathcal{L}_E X = [E, X] = X$. Now it follows from the Jacobi identity and the definition of Lie bracket for arbitrary tensor fields that

$$[\mathcal{L}_E, \mathcal{L}_X] \;=\; \mathcal{L}_{[E,X]} \;=\; \mathcal{L}_X \,.$$

For every tensor $\alpha \in T^{r,s}\,\mathbf{Q}$ hence $\mathcal{L}_X\,\alpha = 0$ implies $\mathcal{L}_X\,\mathcal{L}_E\,\alpha = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_E\,\mathcal{L}_X\,\alpha$ is $\mathcal{L}_X$-exact. While the Euler vector E itself due to $\mathcal{L}_X\,E = -\,\mathcal{L}_E\,X = -X \neq 0$ does not descend to cohomology, it follows that the Lie derivative with respect to E still descends to an operator $\mathcal{L}_E : \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X \to \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$. □

Note that cohomology F-manifolds are generalizations of Hertling-Manin's F-manifolds, which themselves are generalizations of Dubrovin's Frobenius manifolds. While the generalization from F-manifolds to cohomology F-manifolds is needed since we can only expect the big-pair-of-pants product to be well-behaved after passing to cohomology, note that *the generalization from Frobenius manifolds to F-manifolds results from the observation that there is no underlying potential.*

Indeed, since with just one formal variable for each fixed point one cannot distinguish between inputs and outputs, it is immediately clear that there is no Floer potential $F \in \mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$ such that the big quantum product $\star \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,2)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$ is given by its triple derivatives. While one is hence tempted to study the big pair-of-pants product on the differential graded manifold of full rational SFT as defined in the next section, we claim (without proof) that there is still no potential simply because the generating function does not descend to cohomology. Instead we discuss at the end of the next section how the commuting Hamiltonian system on rational SFT provides us with a modified generating function (defined using natural weights) which carries the same information but descends to a well-defined function on the differential graded manifold.

We now turn to the invariance properties of the new objects. For this we show that for different choices of auxiliary data like almost complex structure and (domain-dependent) Hamiltonian perturbations, see also the appendix, we obtain cohomology F-manifolds which are isomorphic in the natural sense.

First, observe that the invariance properties of contact homology proven in [7] lead to an isomorphism of the corresponding differential graded manifolds in the following sense. Let $(\mathbf{Q}^+, X^+)$ and $(\mathbf{Q}^-, X^-)$ be the differential graded manifolds from contact homology obtained for two different choices of auxiliary data. As described in [7] in the section on satellites, from the isomorphism φ :

$$\mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}^+_{X^+} = H^*(\mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}^+, X^+) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(\mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}^-, X^-) = \mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}^-_{X^-}$$

of the contact homology algebras we immediately obtain an isomorphism $d\varphi$:

$$\mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}^+_{X^+} = H^*(\mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}^+, [X^+,\cdot]) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(\mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}^-, [X^-,\cdot]) = \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}^-_{X^-}$$

of the vector field cohomologies, respecting the module structure. It follows that we also obtain an isomorphism of all higher tensor fields, $\varphi_{r,s} : \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}^+_{X^+} \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}^-_{X^-}$.

Theorem 2.12. *For different choices of auxiliary data like almost complex structure and (domain-dependent) Hamiltonian perturbations, the isomorphism* $\varphi : (\mathbf{Q}^+, X^+) \overset{\cong}{\to} (\mathbf{Q}^-, X^-)$ *of differential graded manifolds established in* [7] *extends to a natural isomorphism of cohomology F-manifolds,*

$$\varphi : (\mathbf{Q}^+, X^+, \star^+, e^+, E^+) \overset{\cong}{\to} (\mathbf{Q}^-, X^-, \star^-, e^-, E^-)$$

in the sense that, after passing to cohomology, we have

$$\varphi_{1,2}(\star^+) = \star^-,\ \varphi_{1,0}(e^+) = e^-,\ \textit{and}\ \varphi_{r,s} \circ \mathcal{L}_{E^+} = \mathcal{L}_{E^-} \circ \varphi_{r,s}.$$

Proof. First observe that the result about the grading operators $\mathcal{L}_{E^\pm} : \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}^\pm_{X^\pm} \to \mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{Q}^\pm_{X^\pm}$ immediately follows from the fact that the isomorphisms for contact homology and all its tensor versions are indeed grading-preserving. Now we prove that the isomorphism $d\varphi : \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}^+_{X^+} \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}^-_{X^-}$ respects the product structures $\star^\pm : \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}^\pm_{X^\pm} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}^\pm_{X^\pm} \to \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}^\pm_{X^\pm}$. Denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\gamma_\infty}(\Gamma)$ the moduli spaces consisting of holomorphic curves in the topologically trivial symplectic cobordism $W \cong \mathbb{R} \times M_\phi$ interpolating between the two choices of auxiliary data which are used to define the isomorphism φ for contact homology. For the proof we now have to consider the codimension one-boundary of the submoduli space $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma)$ of the moduli space $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1,\Gamma)$, defined in the same way as $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}_{\gamma_0,\gamma_1}(\Gamma) \subset \mathcal{M}^{\gamma_\infty}(\gamma_0,\gamma_1,\Gamma)$ making use of the preferred holomorphic branching map to the cylinder. Instead of three types of two-level curves we now have six types of two-level curves, where the factor two just results from the fact that we have to distinguish which level is cylindrical and which is non-cylindrical. As in the corresponding result for satellites in [7], we obtain that the morphism and the product commute up to terms which are exact for the Lie derivatives with respect to $X^\pm$. Finally, note that the cobordism map for contact homology can naturally be extended by mapping the unit elements onto each other, which is obviously compatible with the product structure. ☐

Since we introduced the Floer theory of symplectomorphisms as a generalization of the Gromov-Witten theory of the underlying symplectic manifold, we have the following important immediate consequence. It is the generalization of the well-known isomorphism between the quantum cohomology ring and the Floer cohomology ring for Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms, established in [16]. On the other hand, in the same way as we have shown that the isomorphism of contact homology extends to an isomorphism of cohomology F-manifolds, it can be viewed as an extension of the corresponding result about contact homology proven by the author in [8].

Corollary 2.13. *In the case when the symplectomorphism ϕ is the time-one map of a Hamiltonian flow, there exists an* equivalence of cohomology F-manifolds

$$(\mathbf{Q}, X, \star, e, E) \;\cong\; (\bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{QH}^* \cup \{e\}, 0, \star, e, E),$$

where, on the right hand side, $\star$ denotes the big quantum product, e its canonical unit vector field and E the Euler vector field of the Frobenius manifold structure on the quantum cohomology QH^* *of the underlying symplectic manifold. This is a generalization of the classical result of Piunikhin-Salamon-Schwarz in the sense that, after restricting to the tangent spaces at the origins, we recover the ring isomorphism*

$$(\bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{HF}^*(\phi^k)^{\mathbb{Z}_k}, \star_0) \;\cong\; (\bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{QH}^*, \star_0).$$

The above loop space picture will reappear in the next section when we will discuss the relation between Floer theory and infinite-dimensional integrable systems.

2.4. **Floer theory and commuting Hamiltonian systems in SFT.** It was shown by B. Dubrovin, see [5], that to every Frobenius manifold Q one can assign an infinite-dimensional integrable system on the loop space $\Lambda\,\mathrm{Q}$ of Q. By definition, it consists of an infinite sequence of linearly independent commuting Hamiltonian functions which span the space of symmetries of the first Hamiltonian, see [5] for the precise definition. For this recall that in Gromov-Witten theory the integrable system appears as flat coordinates for the deformed flat connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on the cotangent bundle to the Frobenius manifold Q times $\mathbb{C}^*$ given by the flat metric on Q, the big quantum product $\star$ and the Euler vector field E.

While we have shown that the big pair-of-pants product defines a (1,2)-tensor field $\star \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,2)}\,\mathbf{Q}_X$ on the differential graded manifold of contact homology, note that the Euler vector field $E \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{Q}$ as well as the canonical flat structure on $\mathbf{Q}$ do *not* descend to well-defined structures on the differential graded manifold $\mathbf{Q}_X$ in general. Indeed, recall from the definition of the cohomology F-manifold that the flat structure is not part of the definition, and only the grading operator given by the Lie derivative with respect to the Euler vector field, but not the Euler vector field itself, gives a well-defined geometrical object on the differential graded manifold.

While it seems that the classical approach to integrable systems does not generalize immediately from Gromov-Witten theory to Floer theory, it was outlined by Y. Eliashberg in his ICM plenary talk, see [6], that the integrable system of the Gromov-Witten theory of (M,ω) naturally arises in the rational symplectic field theory (SFT) of the trivial mapping torus $S^1 \times M$. Instead of trying to generalize the classical approach starting from the cohomology F-manifold of Floer theory introduced before, the SFT approach leads in a much more natural way to the desired generalization of the integrable systems from Gromov-Witten theory to the Floer theory of symplectomorphisms.

Indeed, using symplectic field theory one gets an infinite system of commuting Hamiltonians on the rational SFT of the mapping torus of every symplectomorphisms on a closed symplectic manifold, which agrees with the integrable system from Gromov-Witten theory in the case when the symplectomorphism is the identity. We emphasize that this observation was the starting point for our project of relating Floer theory, Frobenius manifolds and integrable systems, and even guided us to our definition of the big pair-of-pants product and its relation to cohomology

F-manifolds. While for the definition of the cohomology F-manifold we used the full contact homology of mapping tori, the commuting Hamiltonian systems naturally live on their rational SFT homology, whose differential now counts holomorphic curves with an arbitrary number of positive (and negative) cylindrical ends and whose chain complex contains the full contact homology complex as a subcomplex. The reason is that the rational SFT homology naturally carries a Poisson bracket, which in turn leads to the natural appearance of commuting Hamiltonian systems in SFT, see [6] and [10]. Apart from the fact that we claim that the cohomology F-manifold structure on contact homology indeed can be extended to rational SFT after introducing additional marked points on the curves (in order to model nodal breakings which now need to be included), the system of commuting Hamiltonian functions on rational SFT still restricts to a system of commuting vector fields on the differential graded manifold of full contact homology.

Commuting Hamiltonians on rational SFT homology. Following [7],[10] we start with reviewing the appearance of the commuting Hamiltonian systems in the rational SFT.

Rational SFT is a generalization of contact (co)homology in the sense that its definition involves moduli spaces of holomorphic maps with not just arbitrary many negative ends, but also arbitrary many positive ends, see [7] for details. For two ordered sets $\Gamma^+ = (\gamma_0^+, \dots, \gamma_{r^+}^+)$ and $\Gamma^- = (\gamma_0^-, \dots, \gamma_{r^-}^-)$ of closed orbits $\gamma_1^\pm, \dots, \gamma_{r^\pm}^\pm \in \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(\phi^k)$ the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_r(\Gamma^+,\Gamma^-)$ consists of equivalence classes of tuples $(u, (z_1^+, \dots, z_{r^+}^+), (z_1^-, \dots, z_{r^-}^-), (z_1, \dots, z_r))$ with equivalence relation given by the action of the automorphisms of the domain and the $\mathbb{R}$-action on the target, see [7] for details. Here $(z_1^+, \dots, z_{r^+}^+)$, $(z_1^-, \dots, z_{r^-}^-)$ and $(z_1, \dots, z_r)$ are disjoint collections of marked points on S^2 and u is a J-holomorphic map from the punctured sphere $\dot{S} = S^2 \backslash \{z_1^\pm, \dots, z_{r^\pm}^\pm\}$ to $\mathbb{R} \times M_\phi$ converging to the closed orbits $\gamma_i^\pm \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(\phi^{k_i^\pm})$ in the positive/negative cylindrical end near $z_i^\pm$, $i = 1, \dots, r^\pm$. In particular, the induced map $h = \pi \circ u : \dot{S} \to \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ again defines a branched covering from S^2 to itself with branch points $z_i^\pm$ of order $k_i^\pm$, $i = 1, \dots, r^\pm$ over ∞ and 0, respectively. Note that when Γ^+ consists of a single orbit γ^+ and there no additional marked points ($r = 0$), then we just get back the moduli spaces of contact homology from before, $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma^+}(\Gamma^-) = \mathcal{M}_0(\Gamma^+,\Gamma^-)$.

As in Gromov-Witten theory we can use the additional marked points $z_1, \dots, z_r$ to define evaluation maps

$$\mathrm{ev} = (\mathrm{ev}_1, \dots, \mathrm{ev}_r) : \mathcal{M}_r(\Gamma^+,\Gamma^-) \to M_\phi^r$$

given by

$$\mathrm{ev}_i(u, (z_1^\pm, \dots, z_{r^\pm}^\pm), (z_1, \dots, z_r)) \mapsto u(z_i),\ i = 1, \dots, r,$$

which can be used to pullback differential forms from the target. Using the spectral sequence for fibre bundles we find that the cohomology ring of the mapping torus M_ϕ is given by the cohomology of the circle with twisted coefficients,

$$H^*(M_\phi) \ = \ H^0(S^1, \mathcal{H}^*(M)) \oplus H^1(S^1, \mathcal{H}^{*-1}(M)),$$

since it converges after the first page, $E_\infty^{p,q} = E_2^{p,q}$. We now choose a string of differential forms $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K$ on M_ϕ, where we will assume that the forms represent a basis of the first summand, $\theta_\alpha \in H^0(S^1, \mathcal{H}^*(M))$.

In contrast to the case of contact homology described before, we assign to each closed orbit $\gamma \in \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(\phi^k)$ now *two* formal graded variables p_γ and q_γ with $|p_\gamma| = +\operatorname{CZ}(\gamma) - 2(\dim M - 2)$. Note that the gradings of both formal variables here differs by sign from the original definition in [7], in order to be consistent with other definitions. As in Gromov-Witten theory we further assign to each cohomology class θ_α, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, K$ a formal graded variable t_α with $|t_\alpha| = 2 - \deg\theta_\alpha$. They can again be viewed as coordinates of a super space $\mathbf{V}$, which contains the coordinate super space $\mathbf{Q}$ of contact homology after setting $p = 0 = t$ for $p = (p_\gamma)$, $t = (t_\alpha)$, but now carries a natural symplectic super-form $\sum_\gamma dp_\gamma \wedge dq_\gamma$ (in the formal sense). In particular, the space of functions $\mathfrak{P} = \mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{V}$ now carries a (graded) Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\} : \mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathfrak{P} \to \mathfrak{P}$.

Using the moduli spaces defined above we can define the rational SFT Hamiltonian $\mathbf{h} \in \mathfrak{P} = \mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{V}$ of (rational) SFT as the sum over all Γ^+, Γ^-, I, where the coefficient in front of the monomial $t^I p^{\Gamma^+} q^{\Gamma^-} z^A$ with $p^{\Gamma^+} = p_{\gamma_1^+} \ldots p_{\gamma_{r^+}^+}$, $q^{\Gamma^-} = q_{\gamma_1^-} \ldots q_{\gamma_{r^-}^-}$, $t^I = t_{\alpha_1} \ldots t_{\alpha_r}$, $z^A = z_0^{d_0} \cdot \ldots \cdot z_N^{d_N}$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{r!r^+!r^-!}\frac{1}{\kappa^{\Gamma^+}\kappa^{\Gamma^-}}\int_{\mathcal{M}_r(\Gamma^+,\Gamma^-,A)} \operatorname{ev}_1^*\theta_{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \operatorname{ev}_r^*\theta_{\alpha_r}.$$

It was shown in [10] that for each differential form one can define an infinite sequence of commuting Hamiltonians $\mathbf{h}_{\alpha,j} \in \mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{V}$. After introducing a special additional marked point z_0, we use that as well-known in Gromov-Witten theory there is a tautological line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over each moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{r+1}(\Gamma^+,\Gamma^-)$, whose fibre over $(u, z_0) = (u, (z_1^\pm, \ldots, z_{r^\pm}^\pm), (z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_r))$ is given by the cotangent line $\mathcal{L}_{(u,z_0)} = T^*_{z_0}\dot{S}$, and which extends smoothly over the compactified moduli space. Since the SFT moduli spaces have codimension-one boundary, it however does not make sense to integrate powers of the Euler class (= first Chern class) over the moduli space. Instead we have introduced in [10] the notion of (generic) coherent collections of (multi-valued) sections (s) in the tautological line bundles over all moduli spaces, whose zero sets $\mathcal{M}^1_{r+1}(\Gamma^+,\Gamma^-) = s^{-1}(0)$ in all $\mathcal{M}_{r+1}(\Gamma^+,\Gamma^-)$ can be viewed as (Poincare dual) of a coherent Euler class involving all moduli spaces at once, see [10] for details.

Choosing j generic coherent collections of sections (s_j) and defining

$$\mathcal{M}^j_{r+1}(\Gamma^+,\Gamma^-) = s_1^{-1}(0) \cap \ldots \cap s_j^{-1}(0) \subset \mathcal{M}_{r+1}(\Gamma^+,\Gamma^-),$$

we define the desired sequence of Hamiltonians $\mathbf{h}_{\alpha,j} \in \mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{V}$ again as the sum over all Γ^+, Γ^-, I, where the coefficient in front of the monomial $t^I p^{\Gamma^+} q^{\Gamma^-} z^A$ is now given by

$$\frac{1}{r!r^+!r^-!}\frac{1}{\kappa^{\Gamma^+}\kappa^{\Gamma^-}}\int_{\mathcal{M}^j_{r+1}(\Gamma^+,\Gamma^-,A)} \operatorname{ev}_0^*(\theta_\alpha \wedge dt) \wedge \operatorname{ev}_1^*\theta_{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \operatorname{ev}_r^*\theta_{\alpha_r},$$

with the canonical one-form $dt \in H^1(S^1, \mathcal{H}^0(M)) \subset H^1(M_\phi)$ given by the S^1-coordinate on M_ϕ.

It was shown in [7] that the rational SFT Hamiltonian $\mathbf{h} \in \mathfrak{P}$ satisfies the master equation $\{\mathbf{h},\mathbf{h}\} = 0$. Similar as in contact homology this is equivalent to the fact that the symplectic gradient $X = X^{\mathbf{h}} \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{V}$ of $\mathbf{h}$ with respect to the above formal symplectic super-form is an odd homological vector field on $\mathbf{V}$ and hence again defines a differential graded manifold $\mathbf{V}_X = (\mathbf{V}, X)$ with tensor fields $\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{V}_X := H^*(\mathcal{T}^{(r,s)}\,\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{L}_X)$. Furthermore, as in contact homology, for two different choices of auxiliary data like almost complex structure and (domain-dependent) Hamiltonian perturbations, the resulting differential graded manifolds $(\mathbf{V}^+, X^+)$ and $(\mathbf{V}^-, X^-)$ are isomorphic. The following generalisation of this result for the commuting Hamiltonians was shown in [10].

Theorem 2.14. *Since* $\{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}_{\alpha,j}\} = X(\mathbf{h}_{\alpha,j}) = 0$, *the Hamiltonians* $\mathbf{h}_{\alpha,j}$ *descend to a sequence of functions on the differential graded manifold given by* $(\mathbf{V}, X)$, *which pairwise commute with respect to the Poisson bracket on* $\mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{V}_X$,

$$\{\mathbf{h}_{\alpha,j}, \mathbf{h}_{\beta,k}\} = 0 \in \mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{V}_X\,.$$

Furthermore they are independent under choices of auxiliary data like almost complex structure and Hamiltonian perturbations in the sense that the under the isomorphism of rational SFT established in [7] *they get mapped to each other,*

$$\mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{V}^+_{X^+} \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{V}^-_{X^-},\ \mathbf{h}^+_{\alpha,j} \mapsto \mathbf{h}^-_{\alpha,j},\ \alpha = 1, \ldots, K, j \in \mathbb{N}\,.$$

Relation with Floer theory of symplectomorphisms. We now want to discuss the relation of the commuting Hamiltonian systems of the SFT of M_ϕ with the Floer theory of the underlying symplectomorphism ϕ.

Note that in the case when the symplectomorphism ϕ is the identity, it was already observed in [7] that the SFT of $S^1 \times M$ (more in general, of every circle bundle $S^1 \to V \to (M, \omega)$) is determined by the Gromov-Witten theory of the underlying symplectic manifold (M, ω). This in turn was used in [6], see also [15], to prove that the commuting Hamiltonian system $\mathbf{h}_{\alpha,j}$ for $S^1 \times M$ indeed agrees with the integrable system obtained from the Frobenius manifold determined by the Gromov-Witten potential of (M, ω).

In view of the fact that the Floer theory of a symplectomorphism ϕ generalizes the Gromov-Witten theory of M in the same way as the SFT of its mapping torus M_ϕ generalizes the SFT of $S^1 \times M$, we obtain following Floer generalization of this result.

With the above choice of differential forms $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_K \in H^0(S^1, \mathcal{H}^*(M)) \subset H^*(M_\phi)$ and making use of the canonical one-form $dt \in H^1(M_\phi)$ as in the definition, every commuting Hamiltonian $\mathbf{h}_{\alpha,j}$ is counting holomorphic sections in symplectic fibre bundle with fibre (M, ω) over punctured spheres. For the following statement we restrict to the case $j = 0$ as in [7], the generalization to arbitrary $j \in \mathbb{N}$ is then obvious.

Theorem 2.15. *The coefficient of the monomial* $t^I p^{\Gamma^+} q^{\Gamma^-} z^A$ *in* $\mathbf{h}_{\alpha,0}$ *is given by the integral of the pullback* $\mathrm{ev}_0^*\,\theta_\alpha \wedge \mathrm{ev}_1^*\,\theta_{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{ev}_r^*\,\theta_{\alpha_r}$ *(no dt !) of forms over the moduli space of holomorphic sections* $(u, (z_1^\pm, \ldots, z_{r^\pm}^\pm), (z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_r))$. *Here* u *is a holomorphic section in the pullback bundle* $h_0^*(\mathbb{R} \times M_\phi)$, *where the preferred holomorphic map* $h_0 : \dot{S} = S^2 \backslash \{z_1^\pm, \ldots, z_{r^\pm}^\pm\} \to \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ *is now determined by the requirement* $h_0(z_0) = (0, 0) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^1$.

Instead of selecting three marked points as in the definition of the big pair-of-pants product, we now use that integrating the pullback $\mathrm{ev}_0^*\,dt$ of the canonical one-form on the mapping torus is equivalent to requiring that for every element $u = (u, (z_1^\pm, \ldots, z_{r^\pm}^\pm), (z_0, \ldots, z_r))$ the special marked point z_0

(used to define ev_0) gets mapped to a fixed point on S^1 under the induced map $h = \pi \circ u : \dot{S} = S^2 \backslash \{z_1^{\pm}, \ldots, z_{r^{\pm}}^{\pm}\} \to \mathbb{R} \times S^1$. Note that when ϕ is the identity map, then $h_0^*(\mathbb{R} \times M_\phi) = \dot{S} \times M$ and $u : \dot{S} \to M$ is a holomorphic sphere in M with additional marked points $z_1^{\pm}, \ldots, z_{r^{\pm}}^{\pm}, z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_r$. For the other cohomology classes, we use that $H^0(\dot{S}, \mathcal{H}^*(M))$ can be canonically identified using the bundle map with $H^0(S^1, \mathcal{H}^*(M))$, which in the case of ϕ being the identity just agrees with $H^*(M)$.

While we have seen that the commuting Hamiltonian systems have a natural geometrical interpretation in Floer theory, we emphasize that we have the following immediate consequence of the invariance properties of the commuting Hamiltonian systems under choices of auxiliary data.

Corollary 2.16. *In the case when the symplectomorphism ϕ is the time-one map of a Hamiltonian flow, then the system of commuting Hamiltonians $\mathbf{h}_{\alpha,j} \in \mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{V}_X$ is isomorphic to the integrable system of Gromov-Witten theory of the underlying symplectic manifold.*

We end this section with a short discussion about how the commuting Hamiltonians on rational SFT help us to find a substitute for the rational Gromov-Witten potential in the Floer theory of a symplectomorphism.

For this let $F \in \mathcal{T}^{(0,0)}\,\mathbf{V}$ be the generating function, whose coefficient in front of the monomial $t^I p^{\Gamma^+} q^{\Gamma^-} z^A$ is given by the integral of the pullback $\mathrm{ev}_1^*\,\theta_{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{ev}_r^*\,\theta_{\alpha_r}$ of forms over the moduli space of holomorphic sections $(u, (z_1^{\pm}, \ldots, z_{r^{\pm}}^{\pm}), (z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_r))$ determined by Γ^+ and Γ^-. While we claim that F does *not* lie in the kernel of the symplectic vector field $X \in \mathcal{T}^{(1,0)}\,\mathbf{V}$ of rational SFT and hence has no chance to define an invariant of the symplectomorphism ϕ, we claim that the above generating function agrees with the first descendant Hamiltonian $\mathbf{h}_{0,1}$ for the canonical zero-form up to a natural weighting factor in front of its summands.

Indeed, since by the above theorem $\mathbf{h}_{0,1}$ counts holomorphic sections with one additional marked point carrying one psi class, it follows from (an analogue of) the dilaton equation that the coefficient of $\mathbf{h}_{0,1}$ in front of each monomial agrees with the coefficient of F multiplied with the Euler characteristic of the underlying punctured sphere. While $\mathbf{h}_{0,1}$ and F hence carry the same geometrical information (since the Euler characteristic is nonzero for spheres with three or more marked points), the first descendant Hamiltonian $\mathbf{h}_{0,1}$ (in contrast to F) indeed defines an invariant of the symplectomorphism ϕ.

Appendix: Transversality using domain-dependent Hamiltonians

In this appendix we will show how to adapt the results of the author in [8] to establish the neccessary nondegeneracy of orbits and transversality for all appearing moduli spaces. In particular, *we do not need to employ the polyfold theory of Hofer-Wysocki-Zehnder*, but show that we can still prove the desired transversality using the classical approach of domain-dependent almost complex structures, so that our results are already rigoros in the strict mathematical sense. For the discussion we have to distinguish between domain-stable holomorphic curves (the underlying punctured sphere is already stable in the sense that it has no nontrivial automorphisms, which means that it carries at least three punctures) and domain-unstable holomorphic curves like holomorphic spheres, holomorphic planes and holomorphic cylinders.

Holomorphic spheres, planes and cylinders. First, it is a well-known result from Gromov-Witten theory, see [14], that one can prove regularity for all appearing moduli spaces of holomorphic spheres when the underlying symplectic is semipositive.

As in [8] we observe next that there exist no holomorphic planes in $\mathbb{R} \times M_{\phi}$ for every symplectomorphism ϕ, which simply follows from the fact that there is no branched covering map from the plane to the cylinder.

On the other hand, we have seen that the cylindrical contact homology complex of M_{ϕ} (the subcomplex of contact homology/rational SFT whose differential just counts cylinders) can be naturally identified with the sum of the Floer cohomology complexes for all powers ϕ^k of the symplectomorphism ϕ. It follows that the transversality problem for domain-unstable curves in SFT of mapping tori reduces to the transversality results for symplectic Floer cohomology.

Apart from assuming monotonicity in order to be able to deal with bubbling-off of holomorphic spheres as described above, it is a classical result (see [4] and [14]), that one can prove nondegeneracy for all fixed points and transversality for all moduli spaces of cylinders when one composes the given symplectomorphism ϕ with the Hamiltonian flow ϕ^H_t a (sufficiently generic) time-dependent Hamiltonian function $H = H^k$. Note that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the Hamiltonian $H^k : \mathbb{R} \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ must satisfy the twisted periodicity condition $H^k_{t+1} = H^k_t \circ \phi$.

Similar as in [8], we however can *not* assume that for arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we can work with a single-valued Hamiltonian function H_k given by the Hamiltonian function $H = H^1$ for $k = 1$ by $H^k_t := H_{kt}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The problem is that the resulting function H^k additionally satisfies $H^k_{t+1/k} = H^k_t \circ \phi$, which contradicts the request for genericity of the Hamiltonian and leads to multiply-covered cylinders. In order to have both the symmetry condition as well as regularity, we need to consider *multi-valued* Hamiltonian perturbations which destroy all multiply-covered cylinders, see [2] for the precise definitions.

Domain-stable holomorphic curves. It remains to prove transversality for holomorphic curves with three or more punctures. While these curves lead to the involved algebraic structures discussed in [7] and in this paper, from the point of transversality they actually cause less problems (up to the compatibility problem with the choices for the other moduli spaces) than the domain-unstable holomorphic curves. Indeed, the latter are the reason why transversality is not proved for symplectic field theory in general, which in turn was the starting point for the polyfold project of Hofer, Wysocki and Zehnder.

Indeed it was shown in [8] that one can prove transversality for all moduli spaces of domain-stable holomorphic curves when one introduces domain-dependent Hamiltonian perturbations, generalizing the Hamiltonian perturbations used for the moduli spaces of holomorphic cylinders discussed above. Here one uses that the underlying punctured sphere has no nontrivial automorphisms, so that one can allow the Hamiltonian to depend on points of the punctured sphere, see [8] for details. Furthermore it was shown in [8] that the resulting class of perturbations is indeed large enough to prove transversality for generic choices and that all choices can be made coherent in the sense that they are compatible with compactness and gluing of moduli spaces, which also involves the moduli spaces of domain-unstable holomorphic curves.

While we claim that the main results carry over naturally, here is a short discussion of how the setup of [8] needs to be improved to cover the case of general symplectic mapping tori.

First, since we now need to employ time-dependent Hamiltonians for the cylinders, we need to work with the (compactified) moduli space $\mathcal{M}_s^{\$}$ of punctured Riemann spheres with decorations (in the sense of [1], instead of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_s$ of curves without decorations as in [8]), where s is the number of punctures. Following [7] and [1], note that in the SFT moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_r(\Gamma^+,\Gamma^-)$ every holomorphic curve carries an asymptotic marker (= unit vector in the tangent space) at each puncture, which we remember when we forget the map. The resulting S^1-parametrization near each puncture will be viewed as time coordinate for the Hamiltonian perturbation. We claim that the geometrical setup to define domain-dependent Hamiltonians naturally extends from the classical Deligne-Mumford moduli space of punctured spheres $\mathcal{M}_s$ to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_s^{\$}$ with decorations, since it is still a smooth manifold (with boundary) and admits a universal curve $\mathcal{M}_{s+1}^{\$} \to \mathcal{M}_s^{\$}$ over it, whose fibre over a point in $\mathcal{M}_s^{\$}$ is the punctured curve $\dot{S}$ represented by it (with a circle blow-up of its punctures).

Second, we want to equip each puncture with an integer multiplicity. Since near each puncture the holomorphic curve should approach the fixed point of ϕ^k, perturbed using the chosen Hamiltonian perturbation H^k, we must remember the multiplicity k. After viewing k as an integer by distinguishing between positive and negative punctures, note that we only need to consider the case where the multiplicities of all punctures sum to zero, since otherwise there exists no branched covering map to the cylinder. In order to make coherent choices of domain-dependent Hamiltonians $H^{(s)} = H^{(s)}_{k_1^\pm,\ldots,k_{s^\pm}^\pm}$ for all moduli spaces (compatible with compactness and gluing), note for all nodal curves appearing in the compactification of the moduli spaces that the latter determines the multiplicity of each node *a priori* by the multiplicities of the punctures.

Third, since the Hamiltonians for the cylinders are periodic only with respect to the originally chosen symplectomorphism in the sense that $H^k_{t+1} = H^k_t \circ \phi^k$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, our domain-dependent Hamiltonians $H^{(s)} = H^{(s)}_{k_1^\pm,\ldots,k_{s^\pm}^\pm}$ are *not* maps starting from the total space of the universal curve $\mathcal{M}_{s+1}^{\$}$. Instead we need to work with the space

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{s+1}^{\$}} \to \mathcal{M}_s^{\$}$$

whose fibre over a point in $\mathcal{M}_s^{\$}$ is given by the universal covering $\tilde{S}$ of the punctured sphere $\dot{S}$.

Then the domain-dependent Hamiltonian perturbation we are considering now are smooth maps

$$H^{(s)} = H^{(s)}_{k_1^\pm,\ldots,k_{s^\pm}^\pm} : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{s+1}^{\$}} \to C^\infty(M).$$

In order to ensure that the choices are compatible with the Hamiltonian perturbations for the cylinders, we require, as in [8], that, near the preimage of the circle blow-up of each puncture under the universal covering, $H^{(s)}_{k_1^{\pm},\dots,k^{\pm}_{s^{\pm}}}$ agrees with the time-dependent Hamiltonians $H^{k_1^{\pm}},\dots,H^{k^{\pm}_{s^{\pm}}}:\mathbb{R}\to C^{\infty}(M)$ chosen for the cylinders. Note that here we use the asymptotic marker remembered in $\mathcal{M}^{\$}_{s}$ to parametrize the corresponding boundary of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{\$}_{s+1}$. In particular, since the Hamiltonian perturbations for the cylinders need to be multi-valued in the sense of [2], the same holds true for the Hamiltonian perturbations for the moduli spaces of domain-stable holomorphic curves. We claim that, by starting with the moduli spaces with three punctures, for different numbers of punctures and different multiplicities all these choices can be made coherent, see [8].

References

[1] Bourgeois, F., Eliashberg, Y., Hofer, H., Wysocki, K. and Zehnder, E., Compactness results in symplectic field theory, Geom. and Top. 7, pp. 799-888 (2003)

[2] Cieliebak, K., Mundet i Riera, I. and Salamon, D., Equivariant moduli problems, branched manifolds, and the Euler class, Topology 42, pp. 641-700 (2003)

[3] Cattaneo, A. and Schaetz, F., Introduction to supergeometry, ArXiv preprint 1011.3401 (2010)

[4] Dostoglou, S. and Salamon, D., Instanton homology and symplectic fixed points, in: D. Salamon (ed.), Symplectic Geometry: Proceedings of a Conference, pp. 57-94. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993)

[5] Dubrovin, B., Zhang, Y, Normal forms of hierarchies of integrable PDEs, Frobenius manifolds and Gromov-Witten invariants, ArXiv preprint math.DG/0108160 (2001).

[6] Eliashberg, Y., Symplectic field theory and its applications, in: Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Madrid, August 22-30, 2006, Vol. I, pp.217-246. EMS Publishing House, Zürich (2007)

[7] Eliashberg, Y., Givental, A., Hofer, H., Introduction to symplectic field theory, GAFA 2000 Visions in Mathematics special volume, part II, pp. 560-673, (2000)

[8] Fabert, O., Contact homology of Hamiltonian mapping tori, Comm. Math. Helv. 85, pp. 203-241 (2010)

[9] Fabert, O., Obstruction bundles over moduli spaces with boundary and the action filtration in symplectic field theory, Math. Z. 269, pp.325-372 (2011)

[10] Fabert, O., Gravitational descendants in symplectic field theory, Comm. Math. Phys. 302, pp. 113-159 (2011)

[11] Kontsevich, M., Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, Lett. Math. Phys. 66, pp. 157-216 (2003)

[12] Merkulov, S., Frobenius$_{\infty}$ invariants of homotopy Gerstenhaber algebras I, Duke Math. J. 105, pp. 411-461 (2000)

[13] Merkulov, S., Operads, deformation theory and F-manifolds, in: C. Hertling and M. Marcolli (eds.), Frobenius manifolds: quantum cohomology and singularities. Vieweg, Wiesbaden (2004).

[14] McDuff, D. and Salamon, D., J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology, AMS Colloquium Publications 52. AMS, Providence (2004)

[15] Rossi, P., Integrable systems and holomorphic curves, ArXiv preprint 0912.0451 (2009)

[16] Piunikhin, S., Salamon, D. and Schwarz, M., Symplectic Floer-Donaldson theory and quantum cohomology, in: C.B. Thomas (ed.), Contact and Symplectic Geometry, pp. 171-200. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1996)

[17] Schwarz, M., On the action spectrum for closed symplectically aspherical manifolds, Pacif. J. Math. 193, pp. 419-461 (2000)

[18] Usher, M., Deformed Hamiltonian Floer theory, capacity estimates, and Calabi quasimorphisms, Geom. and Top. 15, pp. 1313-1417 (2011)